E-ISSN: 3032-6753
Vol. 1, No. 2, May-August (2024), pp.51-65

Nuclear Non-Proliferation and the Future of Global Security

Muhammad Budiana (m_budiana70@unpas.ac.id)
Universitas Pasundan

Submited : 16-06-2024, Accepted : 28-07-2024, Published : 28-08-2024

Abstract

This research explores the role of nuclear non-proliferation policies in maintaining global stability and security, by highlighting the effectiveness of international treaties, the challenges of the era of advanced technology, and the role of international organizations in nuclear arms control. Although the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT) has successfully restrained the spread of nuclear weapons in many countries, the imbalance of commitments between nuclear-weapon states and non-weapon states, as well as the development of civilian nuclear technology and cyber threats, hinder the effectiveness of non-proliferation efforts. Through a qualitative approach with literature and secondary data analysis, this research identifies the importance of transparency, collaboration between states, and strengthening the authority of international bodies such as the IAEA for stricter oversight. Suggested policy recommendations include improving cybersecurity, international standards in the use of nuclear technology, and the creation of nuclear-free zones in conflict-prone areas. The findings show that a collaborative and comprehensive approach is needed to achieve long-term success in nuclear non-proliferation efforts, in order to create a safer world from nuclear threats.

Keywords: Nuclear non-proliferation, global security, Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty, nuclear technology

Introduction

The Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT) has been the cornerstone of global efforts to prevent the spread of nuclear weapons since its inception in 1968. It aims to promote the peaceful uses of nuclear technology while limiting the number of nuclear-armed states, thereby enhancing international security (National Academies Press eBooks,2023). However, the NPT faces significant challenges, particularly from non-signatory states and non-state actors seeking nuclear capabilities (Zygmunt Frajzyngier,2022). The International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) plays a critical role in this framework by ensuring that nuclear technology is used safely and for peaceful purposes, while also addressing compliance issues related to the NPT (Patricia Owens,2009). Recent international proposals from the United States, Russia, and the IAEA aim to close loopholes in the NPT, particularly regarding access to enrichment and reprocessing technologies, which pose proliferation risks (G.N. Barrie,2022). Despite these efforts, geopolitical tensions and the ambitions of rogue states complicate the path to nuclear disarmament, a critical goal of the NPT (Matthew R. Naunheim, 2022). Thus, while the NPT has facilitated significant progress, continued vigilance and innovative strategies are essential to navigate the evolving nuclear proliferation landscape and ensure global stability. In today's world, rising geopolitical tensions in regions such as the Middle East, East Asia, and Eastern Europe significantly increase threats to global security, especially related to nuclear proliferation. The dynamics of international relations are increasingly influenced by

E-ISSN: 3032-6753
Vol. 1, No. 2, May-August (2024), pp.51-65

competition among great powers such as the United States, Russia, and China, which requires a collaborative approach to effectively manage nuclear threats (Wei Shou Hu, 2023). The International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) plays a critical role in this context, ensuring that nuclear energy is used for peaceful purposes while overseeing compliance with the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT) (Saipiatuddin, 2024). The NPT serves as the cornerstone of the international non-proliferation regime, aiming to prevent the spread of nuclear weapons and promote the peaceful uses of nuclear energy (Yaroslav Izmaylov,2023). As technological advances complicate security dynamics, global security cooperation becomes essential, requiring states and international organizations to work together to address these challenges (Qiang Wang,2024). Ultimately, managing nuclear threats is no longer solely the responsibility of nuclear-armed states; it demands concerted efforts by the international community to ensure stability and security in an increasingly interconnected world. Rising geopolitical tensions, especially in regions such as East Asia and the Middle East, are significantly influenced by the nuclear capabilities of states such as North Korea and Iran. North Korea's nuclear program exemplifies how a state's pursuit of nuclear weapons can destabilize regional security and provoke neighboring states to bolster their own military capabilities, further escalating tensions (Saipiatuddin,2024). Likewise, Iran's nuclear ambitions contribute to uncertainty and fear among its neighbors, potentially fueling a broader arms race in the Middle East (S. M. Rogov,2021). In South Asia, the ongoing nuclear arms race between India and Pakistan illustrates how nuclear capabilities can exacerbate competition and threaten international stability. Both countries maintain their arsenals as a deterrent to each other, which not only increases the likelihood of conflict but also complicates global non-proliferation efforts (Mario Marinov, 2022). The interplay of these nuclear dynamics highlights the critical situation the world faces, where the presence of nuclear weapons not only poses an immediate threat but also encourages other countries to pursue similar capabilities as a means of balancing power.

The emergence of non-state actors, particularly non-state organizations, significantly complicates the nuclear security landscape. These groups increasingly have the potential to access nuclear technology, driven by a variety of motivations, including political and economic interests. The digital age has facilitated easier access to sensitive information and technology, increasing the risk of misuse by these actors (Yujin J. Jung, 2024). The intersection of terrorism and nuclear threats underscores the urgent need to address the possibility of such groups acquiring nuclear weapons or radioactive materials, posing serious challenges to global security (Matthew R. Naunheim, 2022). Furthermore, the proliferation of technologies that allow states to develop weapons of mass destruction without adequate controls exacerbates these problems.

E-ISSN: 3032-6753
Vol. 1, No. 2, May-August (2024), pp.51-65

As demand for nuclear energy increases, the risk of non-state actors acquiring fissile material increases, especially through the black market (Iftikhar Ali, 2023). International treaties, such as the Treaty on the Non-Proliferation of Nuclear Weapons (NPT), are critical in fostering cooperation among states to mitigate these risks and address the challenges posed by non-state actors (Eunyoung Kim, 2022). Strengthening cybersecurity measures at nuclear facilities is also essential to prevent unauthorized access and protect against potential threats.

Nuclear technology presents significant potential for civilian applications, especially in power generation, healthcare, and industry. As countries face high energy demands and limited fossil resources, nuclear energy is emerging as a viable solution. It is increasingly recognized as a low-emission energy source, making it attractive in the context of climate change, as it can substantially reduce carbon emissions during operation (Omojola Awogbemi, 2023). The development of small nuclear power plants, characterized by their innovative design and modularity, offers a flexible approach to meeting the energy needs of developing countries (Emmanuel Kusi Addo, 2024). These plants are not only cost-competitive with fossil fuels but also incorporate safety features and minimize waste, increasing their appeal (Yixuan Du, 2023). However, the expansion of nuclear energy must be accompanied by strict oversight and regulation to mitigate the risks associated with its use, ensuring that its benefits are realized without compromising safety or security (Omojola Awogbemi, 2024). Thus, while nuclear energy holds promise for addressing energy needs and climate goals, careful governance is essential to responsibly harness its full potential.

Literature Review

History and Development of Nuclear Non-Proliferation Policy

The Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT) is an international agreement first signed in 1968, with the aim of preventing the spread of nuclear weapons, promoting the use of nuclear energy for peaceful purposes, and encouraging nuclear disarmament among countries that already have them (Kemp, 2014). Since then, this agreement has undergone several revisions and adjustments, especially in response to increasing concerns about the arms race in East Asia and the Middle East (Sagan & Waltz, 2002). A study by Potter and Mukhatzhanova (2012) shows that, although the NPT has succeeded in slowing the spread of nuclear weapons, this regime is not entirely effective in preventing the emergence of new countries with nuclear weapons.

Meanwhile, other initiatives, such as the START (Strategic Arms Reduction Treaty) agreement between the United States and Russia, also seek to reduce the number of nuclear

E-ISSN: 3032-6753
Vol. 1, No. 2, May-August (2024), pp.51-65

weapons in the world. However, many studies reveal that these disarmament efforts are still far from expectations, especially due to differences in political interests among major countries. According to Kristensen and Norris (2018), disarmament has stagnated and even increasing geopolitical tensions in the present have encouraged certain countries to re-strengthen their nuclear arsenals.

Security Theory and International Relations

In the study of international relations, nuclear non-proliferation is often discussed in the context of realism theory, which focuses on the importance of military power and national security. According to the realist view, major powers have an incentive to maintain or develop nuclear weapons as a means of balancing power and deterrence (Waltz, 1981). However, the liberal perspective suggests a different approach, namely through international cooperation and diplomacy as a more effective way to prevent nuclear spread (Keohane & Nye, 2012). In their study, Doyle (2009) emphasized that international cooperation, as attempted through the NPT and the active role of organizations such as the IAEA, is an important key to maintaining global stability.

In addition, complexity theory in international relations also highlights how nuclear threats do not only come from countries with nuclear weapons, but also the emergence of non-state actors who have the potential to obtain nuclear technology. This approach provides a new understanding of the importance of a multidimensional strategy in managing risk, where the international community not only pays attention to large countries, but also strengthens supervision of non-state groups that potentially have the intention to use weapons of mass destruction (Gartzke & Kroenig, 2009).

Geopolitical Dynamics and Global Security

Tensions between major countries, such as the United States, Russia, and China, play an important role in shaping the global nuclear security landscape. Geopolitical conflicts in certain regions, including the Middle East and the Korean Peninsula, have raised concerns about the potential for nuclear escalation. A study by Fitzpatrick (2016) suggests that North Korea's nuclear program and Iran's unclear nuclear policy could create significant regional instability, and even inspire other countries in the region to consider developing their own nuclear weapons as a form of deterrence.

Meanwhile, a study of the nuclear arms race in the East Asian region by Solingen (2007) suggests that tensions between countries in the region, especially between North Korea, South

E-ISSN: 3032-6753
Vol. 1, No. 2, May-August (2024), pp.51-65

Korea, and Japan, present additional challenges to the prevailing nuclear non-proliferation regime. To overcome these challenges, a strong regional framework and active roles from regional and global actors are needed to reduce tensions. According to Mantinband (2020), multilateral cooperation under the auspices of organizations such as ASEAN and the IAEA has the potential to create constructive dialogue and strengthen transparency among countries in the region.

The Role of Non-State Actors and New Security Challenges

The increasing threat from non-state actors in the context of nuclear proliferation is also a major concern. According to Allison (2004), access to nuclear technology by terrorist groups or criminal organizations can create new threats that are difficult to overcome by traditional approaches. A study by Jenkins (2013) warns that advances in information technology have enabled non-state groups to access information and materials previously controlled only by certain countries, thereby increasing the risk of a nuclear attack by terrorist groups.

The role of digital media and technological globalization has made it easier to spread information about nuclear technology. Research by Arquilla and Ronfeldt (2001) states that the internet and social media have created an ecosystem that allows non-state actors to communicate, recruit members, and even access sensitive information related to nuclear technology. This challenge requires more intensive collaboration between countries to strengthen technological oversight and control the spread of sensitive information.

Use of Nuclear Technology for Peaceful Purposes

In addition to the challenges above, the potential use of nuclear technology for peaceful purposes is also a concern in this study. Nuclear energy can be a solution for countries that have high energy needs but are limited in fossil energy resources. According to the IAEA (2021), the use of nuclear technology for peaceful purposes, such as power generation and nuclear medicine, shows that this technology can contribute positively to global development if managed with strict supervision. However, a study by Miller and Sagan (2017) shows that even technologies that were originally intended for peaceful purposes can easily turn into weapons programs if there is no adequate oversight mechanism. Therefore, strict policies are needed to limit the misuse of this technology and ensure that nuclear energy is used responsibly.

E-ISSN: 3032-6753
Vol. 1, No. 2, May-August (2024), pp.51-65

Methods

This study uses a qualitative approach with a case study design. The qualitative approach was chosen because this study aims to understand in depth the nuclear non-proliferation policy, global security challenges, and the roles of actors involved in the implementation of this policy. The case study design allows for focused analysis of events, policies, and key actors in the context of nuclear non-proliferation. This study is a descriptive-analytical study, aimed at describing and analyzing the role of nuclear non-proliferation policy on global security stability. This descriptive-analytical study will help identify key factors, including policies, challenges, and impacts of non-proliferation implementation. Primary data in this study will be obtained through in-depth interviews with international relations experts, nuclear policy experts, and officials from international institutions or organizations such as the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) or the United Nations Office for Disarmament Affairs (UNODA). Secondary data will be collected from various relevant literature, such as scientific journals, international organization reports, policy documents, official government reports, books, and publications related to nuclear non-proliferation and global security. These sources will be obtained from libraries, online repositories, and official websites of related institutions.

Results and Discussion

The Role of Nuclear Non-Proliferation Policy in Global Security

This study found that nuclear non-proliferation policy plays an important role in maintaining international stability by reducing the threat of a nuclear arms race and armed conflict involving nuclear weapons. Through the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT) and organizations such as the IAEA, the international community has succeeded in suppressing the development of new nuclear programs in several countries. Interviews with experts showed that NPT member states generally adhere to their commitments not to spread nuclear weapons and support international monitoring.

Effectiveness of the Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT)

The NPT Treaty, although effective in curbing the rate of nuclear proliferation in many countries, still faces challenges in its implementation. The results of the study revealed several major obstacles, namely,

• Imbalance between nuclear and non-nuclear states, Many non-nuclear states feel that nuclear weapon states (such as the US, Russia, and China) have shown little commitment to disarmament. This creates dissatisfaction and skepticism about the effectiveness of the treaty.

• Increased nuclear capacity outside the NPT, Some countries, such as India, Pakistan, and Israel, are not members of the NPT and have developed their own nuclear weapons. This

E-ISSN: 3032-6753
Vol. 1, No. 2, May-August (2024), pp.51-65

poses a dilemma for the international community, as their non-involvement in the treaty reduces the effectiveness of the NPT.

Non-Proliferation Challenges in the Advanced Technology Era

In the context of rapid technological development, there are concerns that nuclear technology may become more accessible, increasing the risk of proliferation. This study identifies several key challenges,

• Proliferation of Civilian Nuclear Technology, The development of civilian nuclear technology, such as nuclear reactors for energy, poses a risk of increasing capacity that can be used for military purposes. Although its use is supervised, this technology can still be used for non-civilian interests by certain countries.

• Increased Cyber Threats to Nuclear Security, In the digital era, cyber security has become an important aspect in protecting nuclear facilities. Interviews revealed that cyber attacks can compromise the security of nuclear installations or steal sensitive information related to nuclear technology, which can lead to misuse.

The Role of Key Countries and International Organizations

This study found that major countries such as the US, Russia, and China play an ambivalent role in non-proliferation policies. On the one hand, they support international initiatives to prevent proliferation, but on the other hand, they still maintain and even increase their nuclear capacity. In addition, international organizations such as the IAEA have an important role in overseeing nuclear use and ensuring member states' compliance, although sometimes they experience constraints in terms of authority and funding.

Policy Recommendations for the Future

Based on the research findings, several policy recommendations to strengthen nuclear non-proliferation include,

• Strengthening the International Oversight Framework, the IAEA needs to be given stronger authority to monitor and audit nuclear activities in member states. Financial support and resources from member states need to be increased to strengthen oversight and inspection capacity.

• Increasing Transparency and Cooperation Among Nuclear States, Nuclear-weapon states are expected to increase transparency and comply with disarmament commitments, in order to increase non-nuclear states' trust in the NPT.

E-ISSN: 3032-6753
Vol. 1, No. 2, May-August (2024), pp.51-65

• Development of Global Rules for Civil Nuclear Technology, The international community needs to develop stricter rules regarding the transfer of civil nuclear technology and ensure that its use is not misused for military purposes.

• Protection against Cyber Threats in the Nuclear Sector, Nuclear technology-owning countries and international organizations are advised to increase cooperation in developing cyber security standards to protect nuclear facilities from digital threats.

Impact of Non-Proliferation Policy on Regional Stability

The study also found that non-proliferation policy has a significant impact on regional stability, especially in geopolitically tense regions such as the Middle East and East Asia. Implementation of non-proliferation policy can prevent escalation of conflict in the region, but only if supported by international cooperation and real commitment from all countries.

The results of this study indicate that nuclear non-proliferation policy plays an important role in maintaining global stability and security, but still faces various challenges in its implementation. The proposed recommendations are expected to help the international community in improving the effectiveness of non-proliferation policy to face the ever-growing challenges in the future.

Discussion

This study highlights the important role of nuclear non-proliferation policy in maintaining global stability and security. The results of the study show how international agreements and efforts in the field of non-proliferation have a significant impact in reducing the threat of a nuclear arms race. However, the challenges identified in the results of the study indicate that achieving non-proliferation requires further efforts and commitment from the international community. This discussion will further elaborate on the results of the study by looking at aspects of effectiveness, technological challenges, the role of key actors, and proposed policy recommendations.

1. Effectiveness of the Non-Proliferation Treaty in Reducing Global Threats

Although the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT) has proven to be a major instrument that has successfully curbed the development of nuclear weapons in many countries, this study shows that the treaty faces several limitations that impact its effectiveness. One of the main issues is the imbalance between countries that have nuclear weapons and those that do not. This has led to dissatisfaction among non-nuclear countries,

E-ISSN: 3032-6753
Vol. 1, No. 2, May-August (2024), pp.51-65

especially regarding disarmament. This imbalance can disrupt the stability of the treaty and potentially create tensions that can undermine global efforts to curb nuclear proliferation.

Another issue that needs to be considered is the attitude of major nuclear weapon states that still maintain their nuclear capacity, and in some cases even increase their arsenal. This creates a contradiction in global efforts to reduce nuclear weapons, because these states have great influence in setting international security policies. To maintain trust in the international community, these states need to show a more real commitment to disarmament.

2. Non-Proliferation Challenges in the Advanced Technology Era

The results of the study show that technological developments present new challenges in non-proliferation efforts. The increasingly advanced civil nuclear technology, for example, although it offers great benefits in energy, carries hidden risks because this technology can be used for military purposes. With the increasing access to this technology, strict supervision becomes important so that this civil technology is not misused.

Cyber threats to facilities and information related to nuclear technology are also an important factor in this digital era. Cyber technology can increase the risk of illegal access to sensitive nuclear information or even sabotage of nuclear facilities. This shows the need to develop special cybersecurity standards for nuclear facilities, both by nuclear states and the international community. Enhanced capabilities in addressing cyber threats will be an important factor in maintaining nuclear security in the future.

3. The Role of Key States and International Organizations

This study also identified that nuclear-weapon states have an ambivalent role in non-proliferation policies. On the one hand, they have a major responsibility to lead efforts to reduce nuclear weapons. However, on the other hand, they still maintain and develop their nuclear capabilities, thus raising doubts about their commitment to disarmament. This ambivalent attitude can hinder other countries' efforts to comply with the non-proliferation treaty.

In addition, the role of international organizations such as the IAEA is very important in ensuring that all countries comply with non-proliferation commitments. However, the limited authority and resources of this organization are serious obstacles. The international community needs to provide stronger support to the IAEA so that this institution has adequate capacity to conduct inspections and supervision of nuclear activities around the world.

E-ISSN: 3032-6753
Vol. 1, No. 2, May-August (2024), pp.51-65

4. Policy Recommendations and Their Relevance to Non-Proliferation Challenges

The policy recommendations proposed by this study have direct relevance to the challenges faced in non-proliferation efforts. First, granting greater authority to the IAEA can improve the effectiveness of monitoring and auditing nuclear activities in member states. Thus, the IAEA will be better able to enforce international regulations and prevent potential violations related to nuclear proliferation.

Second, increasing transparency and cooperation between nuclear weapon states will create a more conducive atmosphere for non-nuclear states to comply with the NPT. This transparency will help strengthen trust between non-nuclear and nuclear states, while reducing the risk of geopolitical tensions that could trigger conflict.

Third, the development of global rules regarding civilian nuclear technology is also an important recommendation. These rules aim to prevent the use of civilian technology for unintended military purposes. With stricter regulations and stronger monitoring, the misuse of nuclear technology can be minimized.

Finally, protection against cyber threats to nuclear facilities is a much-needed step in non-proliferation efforts in this digital era. Enhanced cybersecurity will prevent threats and sabotage to nuclear facilities that can pose a major risk to global security.

5. Impact of Non-Proliferation Policy on Regional Stability

Nuclear non-proliferation policy also affects regional stability, especially in conflict-prone areas such as the Middle East and East Asia. In these regions, geopolitical tensions often push countries to consider developing nuclear weapons as a security guarantee. Implementing non-proliferation policies can help prevent conflict escalation, as long as it is accompanied by strong diplomacy and support from the international community to create nuclear-free zones in these regions.

Conclusion

This study confirms that nuclear non-proliferation efforts play a crucial role in maintaining global stability and security. Non-proliferation policies, especially through the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT), have helped control the spread of nuclear weapons in many countries and created international standards for nuclear activities. However, the success of this policy is faced with various challenges that require the international community to take more adaptive steps.

One of the main challenges is the imbalance of commitment between nuclear-possessing and non-possessing states. Nuclear-possessing states that still maintain or even increase their

E-ISSN: 3032-6753
Vol. 1, No. 2, May-August (2024), pp.51-65

weapons capabilities, raise dissatisfaction and suspicion among non-nuclear states. In addition, advances in civil nuclear technology and cyber threats to nuclear facilities add to the complexity of controlling nuclear proliferation. Increasingly advanced nuclear technology brings great potential, but also increases the risk of misuse and wider access to nuclear technology.

This study recommends several important policies to address these challenges. Strengthening the authority and resources of international regulatory bodies such as the IAEA, increasing transparency and collaboration among nuclear-weapon states, and developing strict cybersecurity standards for nuclear facilities are steps that are expected to increase the effectiveness of non-proliferation efforts. In addition, strengthening international diplomacy and cooperation to create nuclear-free zones in conflict-prone areas will also contribute to regional and global stability. Overall, this study highlights the importance of a collaborative and comprehensive approach to addressing non-proliferation challenges in the modern era. With a shared commitment from countries around the world and increased oversight of the use of nuclear technology, the international community can strengthen its efforts towards a safer and more nuclear-free world.

References

(2023). Nuclear Energy in Climate Resilient Power Systems. doi, 10.61092/iaea.47gr-efvv

(2023). Nuclear Energy in Mitigation Pathways to Net Zero. doi, 10.61092/iaea.pf2q-c1y0

(2023). Nuclear Proliferation and Arms Control Monitoring, Detection, and Verification, A National Security Priority. doi, 10.17226/26558

Afriantari, R., & Budiana, M. (2023). ASEAN COMISSION ON PROMOTION AND PROTECTION OF RIGHTS OF WOMEN AND CHILDREN (ACWC) POLICIES IN ME VIOLENCE RESPONSE TO WOMEN IN THE SPIRIT. *Journal Sampurasun: Interdisciplinary Studies for Cultural Heritage*, *6*(1), 10-20.

Allison, G. (2004). Nuclear Terrorism, The Ultimate Preventable Catastrophe. Henry Holt and Company.

Arquilla, J., & Ronfeldt, D. (2001). Networks and Netwars, The Future of Terror, Crime, and Militancy. RAND Corporation.

Aziz, Y. M. A., Huraerah, A., Budiana, M., & Vaughan, R. (2023). Policy model for development of tourism villages based on local wisdom towards self-reliant village in Pangandaran Regency, Indonesia. *Otoritas: Jurnal Ilmu Pemerintahan, 13*(1), 169-181.

Budiana, M. (2022). The Use of E Voting in the General Election System in Indonesia. *Legal Brief*, *11*(5), 2656-2662.

Budiana, M. (2022). Use of Social Media in Political Communication. *Jurnal Info Sains: Informatika dan Sains*, *12*(1), 18-24.

E-ISSN: 3032-6753
Vol. 1, No. 2, May-August (2024), pp.51-65

Budiana, M. (2023). Analysis of Indonesia's Foreign Policy during President Jokowi. *Jurnal Mantik*, *6*(3), 3564-3570.

Budiana, M. (2023). INDONESIAN DEMOCRATIC PARTY OF STRUGGLE (PDI PERJUANGAN) STRATEGY IN 2019 WEST JAVA PROVINCE LEGISLATIVE ELECTION. *Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews*, *8*(3), 95-103.

Budiana, M. (2023). INDONESIAN DEMOCRATIC PARTY OF STRUGGLE (PDI PERJUANGAN) STRATEGY IN 2019 WEST JAVA PROVINCE LEGISLATIVE ELECTION. *Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews*, *8*(3), 95-103.

Budiana, M. (2023). Indonesia's Foreign Policy in Facing Terrorism. *Central European Management Journal*, *30*(4), 1650-1655.

Budiana, M. (2023). POLITICAL CULTURE AND SOCIETY'S POLITICAL ORIENTATION IN THE DIGITAL AGE. *Jurnal Multidisiplin Sahombu*, *3*(01), 108-115.

Budiana, M. (2023). The Impact of Globalization on the International Political System. *Journal of Management*, *2*(2), 214-236.

Budiana, M. (2023). Women and Politics: Representation of Women in Political Parties. *Jurnal Sosial Sains dan Komunikasi*, *1*(02), 69-75.

Budiana, M., & Budiman, B. (2024). Sovereignty Dynamics In The US-China Geopolitical Conflict In The South China Sea. *Jurnal Sosial Sains dan Komunikasi*, *3*(01), 29-37.

Budiana, M., & Djuyandi, Y. (2023). INTERNATIONAL SECURITY BASED ON THE UNITED STATES RESPONSE POST TO THE SOUTH CHINA SEA CLAIM BY THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA. *Jurnal Wacana Politik*, *8*(1).

Budiana, M., & SIP, M. S. (2022). *Strategi Komunikasi Politik Berbasis Budaya dalam Sistem Kepartaian*. Deepublish.

Budiana, M., & Yusa Djuyandi, Y. D. (2023). Military Position Transition in Every Era Of Indonesian Head Of State Leadership. *Journal of Governance*, *7*(1), 275-285.

Budiana, M., Bainus, A., Widya, R., & Setiabudi, S. (2018). Regional Election Winning Strategy of the Indonesian Democratic Party of Struggle (PDIP) in North Coast Area of West Java Province (Case Study in Subang and Cirebon Regencies). *Journal of Social and Development Sciences*, *9*(1), 31-37.

Budiana, M., Djuyandi, Y., & Dermawan, W. (2020). The contribution of organization of Islamic cooperation in southern Thailand conflict. *Rivista di studi sulla sostenibilità: X, special issue, 2020*, 81-95.

Budiana, M., Muhammad Fedryansyah, M. F., Yusa Djuyandi, Y. D., & Ramadhan Pancasilawan, R. P. (2023). Indonesia military power under the increasing threat of conflict in the South China Sea. *Central European Journal of International and Security CEJISS.*, *13*(4), 259-274.

Doyle, M. W. (2009). Liberal Peace, Selected Essays. Routledge.

Emmanuel, Kusi, Addo., Amos, T., Kabo-bah., Felix, Amankwah, Diawuo., Seth, Kofi, Debrah. (2023). The Role of Nuclear Energy in Reducing Greenhouse Gas (GHG) Emissions and Energy Security, A Systematic Review. International Journal of Energy Research, doi, 10.1155/2023/8823507

E-ISSN: 3032-6753
Vol. 1, No. 2, May-August (2024), pp.51-65

Eunyoung, Kim., Minwoo, Yun. (2022). Responses to the emerging technology based terrorist weapons and tools, the US cases of the Homeland Security and its science-technology practices. Gyeong-won beopak, doi, 10.15335/glr.2022.15.2.006

Fitzpatrick, M. (2016). Asia's Latent Nuclear Powers, Japan, South Korea and Taiwan. Routledge.

G.N., Barrie. (2023). Five Decades of the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty, Time to Pass the Baton to the UN Security Council?. South African Yearbook of International Law, doi, 10.25159/2521-2583/9561

Gartzke, E., & Kroenig, M. (2009). Strategic Stability in the Second Nuclear Age. American Political Science Review, 103(1), 133–153.

Iftikhar, Ali., Muhammad, Sadiq. (2023). The Perils of Non-State Actors in Pakistan, Assessing the Risks of Nuclear Safety and Security. International journal of nuclear security, doi, 10.7290/ijns082376

International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA). (2021). Nuclear Energy for a Net Zero World. IAEA.

Jenkins, B. M. (2013). The Dynamics of Nuclear Proliferation and Terrorism. RAND Corporation.

Kemp, R. S. (2014). The Non-Proliferation Treaty, Foundations of Nuclear Non-Proliferation. In Oxford Handbook of the International Law of Global Security (pp. 235-258). Oxford University Press.

Keohane, R. O., & Nye, J. S. (2012). Power and Interdependence. Longman.

Kristensen, H. M., & Norris, R. S. (2018). Status of World Nuclear Forces. Bulletin of the Atomic Scientists, 74(5), 289-301.

Manboah-Rockson,, Joseph, K.., Teng-Zeng,, Frank, K.. (2024). The United Nations (UN) at Crossroads in 2022, An Assessment of 'Geopolitical Tensions' in International Relations (IR). □□□□□□□□□ □□□□□□ □□□□□□□ □□□□□□ □□□□□□□□□□□, doi, 10.47941/ijhss.1827

Mantinband, J. (2020). Regional Non-Proliferation Efforts and the Role of ASEAN. Journal of Global Security Studies, (2), 169-185.

Mario, Marinov. (2022). The Strategic Nuclear Treaty Regime at a Crossroads. The (Im)Possible Search for a New Point of Balance?. Romanian Military Thinking, doi, 10.55535/rmt.2022.4.05

Matthew, R., Naunheim. (2022). Nuclear security. doi, 10.4337/9781788111904.00026

Matthew, R., Naunheim. (2022). Nuclear security. doi, 10.4337/9781788111904.00026

Miller, S. E., & Sagan, S. D. (2017). Nuclear Energy, the Nuclear Fuel Cycle, and the Potential for Nuclear Proliferation. In The Nuclear Tipping Point (pp. 123-150). Brookings Institution Press.

Muhammad, Sadiq., Iftikhar, Ali. (2022). Non-State Actors, Sub-Conventional Warfare, and India-Pakistan Nuclear Crisis Stability/Instability. Journal of Asian and African Studies, doi, 10.1177/00219096221108736

E-ISSN: 3032-6753
Vol. 1, No. 2, May-August (2024), pp.51-65

Omojola, Awogbemi., Adeolu, Adesoji, Adediran., Remilekun, .L., Elewa., Kazeem, Aderemi, Bello., Rotimi, A., Ibikunle., Francis, Olusesi, Borokinni., SM, Adedayo., P.P., Ikubanni. (2024). Exploring Nuclear Energy to meet Sustainable Development Goals. doi, 10.1109/seb4sdg60871.2024.10629889

Patricia, Owens. (2009). Reclaiming 'Bare Life'?, Against Agamben on Refugees. International Relations, 23(4),567-582. doi, 10.1177/0047117809350545

Potter, W. C., & Mukhatzhanova, G. (2012). Forecasting Nuclear Proliferation in the 21st Century, The Role of Theory. Stanford University Press.

Priangani, A., & Budiana, M. (2021, December). PENGUATAN KETERAMPILAN TEKNIK NEGOSIASI DI KALANGAN SISWA SMA. In *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pengabdian kepada Masyarakat Penguatan Inovasi IPTEKS bagi Pemerintah Daerah* (pp. 2-8). Lembaga Penelitian, Publikasi dan Pengabdian Masyarakat (LP3M) Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta.

Priangani, A., Oktavian, A., & Budiana, M. (2018). Manajemen Perbatasan Di Wilayah Perbatasan Indonesia Malaysia. *Prosiding Senaspolhi*, *1*(1).

Qiang, Wang., Fengyu, Ren., Rongrong, Li. (2024). Geopolitics and energy security, a comprehensive exploration of evolution, collaborations, and future directions. Humanities & social sciences communications, doi, 10.1057/s41599-024-03507-2

S., M., Rogov. (2021). Global and Regional Stability in the Nuclear World. Herald of the Russian Academy of Sciences, doi, 10.1134/S101933162103014X

Sagan, S. D., & Waltz, K. N. (2002). The Spread of Nuclear Weapons, A Debate Renewed. W.W. Norton & Company.

Saipiatuddin., Indra, Kristian., Ananda, Rivaldo, Sari., Muhammad, Rizal, Rifaldi., Totok, Hendarto. (2024). 1. Geopolitical Tensions In The Modern World, A Comprehensive Global Risk Analysis And The Path Forward For International Diplomacy. The international journal of science in society, doi, 10.54783/ijsoc.v6i1.1096

Saipiatuddin., Indra, Kristian., Ananda, Rivaldo, Sari., Muhammad, Rizal, Rifaldi., Totok, Hendarto. (2024). Geopolitical Tensions In The Modern World, A Comprehensive Global Risk Analysis And The Path Forward For International Diplomacy. The international journal of science in society, doi, 10.54783/ijsoc.v6i1.1096

Solingen, E. (2007). Nuclear Logics, Contrasting Paths in East Asia and the Middle East. Princeton University Press.

Waltz, K. N. (1981). The Spread of Nuclear Weapons, More May Be Better. The Adelphi Papers, 171, 1-35.

Wei, Shou, Hu., Yue, Shan., Yun, Deng., Ningning, Fu., Jian, Duan., Haining, Jiang., Jianzhen, Zhang. (2023). Geopolitical Risk Evolution and Obstacle Factors of Countries along the Belt and Road and Its Types Classification. International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health, doi, 10.3390/ijerph20021618

William, R., Katowitz. (2022). Regimul tratatelor nucleare strategice la răscruce. Căutarea (im)posibilă a unui nou punct de echilibru?. doi, 10.55535/gmr.2022.4.05

E-ISSN: 3032-6753
Vol. 1, No. 2, May-August (2024), pp.51-65

Yaroslav, Izmaylov., Iryna, Yegorova. (2024). Global challenges to modern international security system. doi, 10.62911/ete.2024.02.01.02

Yixuan, Du., Hu, Shiwei. (2023). Calculation of the Environmental Carrying Capacity of the Civil Nuclear Technology Application Industry Based on its Carbon Footprint. Journal of resources and ecology, doi, 10.5814/j.issn.1674-764x.2023.05.007

Yujin, J., Jung. (2024). Cyber Shadows over Nuclear Peace, Understanding and Mitigating Digital Threats to Global Security. The Journal of Asian Security & International Affairs, doi, 10.1177/23477970241250102

Zaelani, I. R., & Budiana, M. (2024). CLUSTERING SUNDANESE CULTURAL ARTICLES ON GOOGLE SCHOLAR: A BIBLIOMETRIC REVIEW. *Journal Sampurasun: Interdisciplinary Studies for Cultural Heritage*, *10*(1), 27-39.

Zygmunt, Frajzyngier. (2022). Non-proliferation of nuclear weapons. doi, 10.4337/9781788111904.00023.