

Global Governance: The Role of International Institutions in the 21st Century

Muhammad Budiana (m_budiana70@unpas.ac.id)
Universitas Pasundan

Submitted : 14-03-2024, Accepted : 14-04-2024, Published : 14-05-2024

Abstract

This study aims to analyze the role of international institutions in global governance in the 21st century and the challenges and innovations they face. In the context of globalization and the complexity of international issues, institutions such as the United Nations (UN), World Health Organization (WHO), and World Trade Organization (WTO) have important roles in facilitating multilateral cooperation, developing global policies, and providing technical and financial assistance. Through qualitative methods involving interviews with international agency staff and academics, as well as secondary data analysis, this research identifies the need for reforms in the decision-making structures of international agencies to ensure better representation for developing countries. The results show that while international institutions play a crucial role in addressing global challenges, they need to adapt and innovate to remain relevant in the future. This research is expected to provide new insights for the development of more effective and sustainable global governance policies and practices.

Keywords: Global governance, international institutions, reform, collaboration

Introduction

The 21st century presents a myriad of complex global challenges, including climate change, mass migration, terrorism, and economic instability. In this context, international institutions such as the United Nations (UN) play a critical role in fostering dialogue and cooperation among countries. The UN not only facilitates peacekeeping and humanitarian efforts but also shapes international law and justice, which are essential to address these multifaceted issues (K. K. Иванов, A. V., 2024). Economic instability, often exacerbated by crises, requires robust solutions, with institutions such as the International Monetary Fund (IMF) providing financial assistance and promoting economic cooperation to stabilize affected economies (X. Zhang, 2024). Simultaneously, mass migration policies are essential to manage the movement of people fleeing conflict or environmental disasters, ensuring the protection of migrants' rights (Anuwar Ali, 2024). Additionally, the rise of global terrorism underscores the need for international collaboration and intelligence sharing to combat this threat effectively (Ilan Kelman, 2024). Finally, mitigating climate change requires coordinated efforts to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, with the UN leading initiatives to support sustainable practices globally (Jannatul Ferdous, 2024). Collectively, these institutions are critical in navigating the complexities of contemporary global challenges, fostering stability and cooperation among nations. International institutions, such as the United Nations (UN), the International Monetary

Fund (IMF), and the World Trade Organization (WTO), play a critical role in global governance by facilitating diplomatic dialogue and ensuring compliance with international law. They serve as platforms for nations to address pressing global issues, from sustainable development to economic stability (Khawaja Muhammad Ali Butt, 2024) (Kien Le, 2024). However, the effectiveness of these institutions is often questioned due to the imbalance of power among member states and their perceived sluggishness in responding to crises (Ироп Пастернак, 2024) (T. M. Tarasenko, 2024). The UN is recognized as a central forum for international dialogue, but its authority is challenged by the proliferation of other institutions and increasing demands from state and non-state actors to participate in governance mechanisms (Khawaja Muhammad Ali Butt, 2024) (Ироп Пастернак, 2024).

Similarly, the IMF and the WTO face difficulties in asserting their governance authority, as their actions can have implications far beyond their immediate mandates (Kien Le, 2024) (T. M. Tarasenko, 2024). Moreover, compliance with international law is essential to maintaining peace and security, yet challenges in ensuring compliance highlight the need for stronger mechanisms within these institutions to effectively resolve disputes and hold states to account (Obsatar Sinaga, 2024). Thus, while international institutions are critical to global governance, their roles and responses must evolve to meet the complexities of an interdependent world.

In the context of rapid globalization and digitalization, international institutions are increasingly re-evaluating their roles to address complex global challenges. The Chief Executives' Council (CEB) in the UN system exemplifies this adaptation, facilitating discussions among chief executives to enhance policy coherence in response to the pressures of globalization (Baqi Zaenulhaq Alfarizi, 2024). Global governance frameworks are evolving, emphasizing the need for cooperation among diverse actors, including the private sector and non-governmental organizations, to effectively manage global issues (Valerii Morgun, 2024).

International organizations, such as the United Nations and the World Trade Organization, are critical in fostering collaboration between countries and addressing common challenges, yet they face significant scrutiny regarding their efficiency, particularly highlighted during the COVID-19 pandemic (T. M. Tarasenko, 2024). The Global Compact initiative further illustrates the integration of private sector actors into the international institutional framework, promoting UN social and environmental principles to enhance global stability (Obsatar Sinaga, 2024). Additionally, the International Monetary Fund plays a critical role in the global financial system, navigating political influence while striving for systemic stability (Isabel Sánchez, 2024). Collectively, these institutions must innovate and adapt to maintain relevance and effectively pursue the world's collective goals in the 21st century.

International institutions play a critical role in addressing global challenges that transcend national borders, such as climate change, health crises, and cybersecurity. The World Health Organization (WHO) exemplifies this by coordinating the global response to the COVID-19 pandemic, highlighting the need for international cooperation in managing health emergencies (Serhii Lysenko, 2024). Similarly, climate change initiatives such as the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement illustrate how international frameworks facilitate collective commitments to reduce greenhouse gas emissions, thereby fostering an integrated approach to environmental sustainability (T. M. Tarasenko, 2024) (Obsatar Sinaga, 2024). These agreements not only set binding targets but also encourage collaboration between countries, demonstrating the importance of global governance in addressing transnational issues (Deepa Dhama, 2023). Furthermore, as cyber threats increasingly impact global stability, international institutions are critical to setting norms and facilitating dialogue to enhance cybersecurity cooperation (Yijie Li, 2023). By serving as mediators and global stability custodians, these institutions enable countries to work together effectively, ensuring that complex challenges are addressed through coordinated efforts rather than unilateral action. The interconnectedness of these global issues thus underscores the vital role of international institutions in fostering collaborative responses to common challenges.

The legitimacy of international institutions is further threatened by the crisis of multilateralism, characterized by skepticism toward global cooperation and a shift toward unilateralism and regionalism by some countries. This crisis is exemplified by the growing distrust of multilateral agreements, as seen in the United States' blocking of appointments to the WTO Appellate Body, which significantly hampers the effectiveness of the World Trade Organization (WTO) (Gordon Arlen, 2023). As major powers question the efficacy of these institutions, they often resort to unilateral approaches, undermining collective efforts to address global challenges such as trade imbalances and social inequality (Peng Li, 2023) (Michael Lounsbury, 2023).

The inability of international organizations to adapt to the rapidly changing geopolitical landscape has further exacerbated this problem, leading to the perception that they are ill-equipped to manage contemporary issues (Gürkan Çapar, 2023). Consequently, the erosion of trust in these institutions not only threatens their legitimacy but also poses significant challenges to the maintenance of a cooperative international order, as states increasingly prioritize regional solutions over global collaboration (Abdulkarim Abdullahi, 2023). These trends highlight the urgent need for reforms in international institutions to restore their credibility and effectiveness in a multipolar world.

Literature Review

Universalism and Relativism in Human Rights

The Concept of Global Governance

Global governance encompasses the decision-making processes involving multiple international actors in managing global issues that transcend national boundaries. Rosenau and Czempiel (1992) define global governance as a process in which global policies are produced through negotiations between states and non-state actors. International institutions play a central role in global governance, providing a platform for coordination and collaboration on issues such as security, economics, health, and the environment. However, according to Held and McGrew (2002), globalization has widened the scope of international governance, with non-state actors such as non-governmental organizations (NGOs) and multinational corporations increasingly playing a significant role in global decision-making. In this context, global governance extends beyond states to encompass a complex network of actors involved in managing global challenges.

The Role of International Institutions

International institutions such as the United Nations (UN), the World Bank, and the World Trade Organization (WTO) have long been central pillars of global governance. According to Keohane (2005), these institutions function as facilitators of cooperation between countries, providing mechanisms for resolving conflicts, enforcing international rules, and providing technical and financial resources to developing countries. However, there has also been much criticism of international institutions. Stiglitz (2006) highlights that international institutions, especially the World Bank and the IMF, are often accused of implementing policies that are not in accordance with the local needs of developing countries. Furthermore, Woods (2006) points out the gap in representation in international institutions, where developing countries often have limited say in decision-making that affects them.

Global Power Dynamics in the 21st Century

The 21st century is marked by a shift in global power from the dominance of Western countries to the emergence of new powers such as China, India, and Brazil (Nye, 2011). This change affects the dynamics of global governance and the role of international institutions. According to Ikenberry (2018), international institutions must adapt to an increasingly complex multipolar world order, where new powers demand a greater role in global decision-making. In addition, the emergence of new economic powers also poses challenges to the legitimacy of international institutions. Hurrell (2006) argues that dissatisfaction with the global order

dominated by Western countries has increasingly fueled demands for reform of international institutions to be more inclusive and representative

New Challenges in Global Governance

Climate change, digitalization, and global inequality are some of the new challenges facing international institutions in the 21st century. According to Biermann et al. (2009), these challenges require a more inclusive and collaborative approach to global governance, involving state and non-state actors. This requires international institutions to increase flexibility, transparency, and accountability in responding to rapid changes. In addition, the COVID-19 pandemic has shown how important effective international coordination is. According to Ghebreyesus (2020), the failure of a coordinated global response in the early stages of the pandemic suggests that international institutions, such as the World Health Organization (WHO), need to strengthen international cooperation mechanisms in dealing with global health crises.

The Future of Global Governance

A growing body of literature suggests that in order to survive and remain relevant in the 21st century, international institutions need to undergo significant reforms. According to Thakur (2020), global governance reforms should include increasing the representation of developing countries in decision-making, adapting to new challenges such as digital technology, and strengthening transparency and accountability mechanisms. Sassen (2015) also emphasizes that international institutions need to be more responsive to pressure from global civil society that is increasingly demanding greater participation in global decision-making processes. More inclusive public participation will increase the legitimacy of international institutions in the eyes of the world community.

Methods

This research uses a qualitative approach with a case study method. This approach was chosen to gain an in-depth understanding of the role of international institutions in global governance and the challenges they face in the 21st century. The research design is descriptive-analytical. The researcher will identify, analyze, and describe the role of international institutions in the context of global issues, as well as examine the dynamics and challenges faced. In this way, the research aims to describe how these institutions operate and adapt in a changing global environment. Data obtained from interviews and document reviews will be

analyzed using content analysis techniques. The researcher will identify key themes that emerge from interviews and documents, and group the data into categories that are relevant to the research objectives. The results of this analysis will be integrated to provide a comprehensive picture of the role of international institutions and challenges in global governance.

Results and Discussion

The Role of International Institutions in Global Governance

The results of interviews with 15 respondents, consisting of international institution employees, and academics, revealed that international institutions have a crucial role in shaping global governance. The respondents highlighted several main functions of international institutions, including:

- a. **Facilitating Multilateral Cooperation:** Most respondents agreed that international institutions, such as the UN, play an important role in facilitating dialogue and cooperation between countries. For example, the UN annual meeting provides a platform for negotiations on global issues, such as climate change and international security.
- b. **Developing Global Policies:** International institutions are also involved in formulating relevant policies to address global challenges. For example, the WHO in addressing global health issues and the WTO in regulating international trade.
- c. **Providing Technical and Financial Assistance:** Many international institutions, such as the World Bank and the IMF, provide financial and technical assistance to developing countries to support economic and social development. Respondents stated that this support is very important for countries facing economic challenges.

Challenges Faced by International Institutions

The results of the data analysis show that international institutions face various challenges in the 21st century:

- a. **Legitimacy and Representativeness:** Many respondents expressed that the legitimacy of international institutions is a problem, especially due to dissatisfaction of developing countries with their representation in decision-making. Respondents emphasized the need for reforms in institutional structures to reflect a multipolar world order.
- b. **Response to Global Crisis:** The COVID-19 pandemic has highlighted weaknesses in global coordination. Most respondents stated that the initial response of international institutions was ineffective and pointed to the need for improvements in international cooperation mechanisms in emergency situations.

- c. **Adaptation to Technological Change:** Technological developments, including digitalization and the use of big data, pose new challenges for international institutions. Respondents noted that institutions need to adapt quickly to address issues related to privacy, cybersecurity, and disinformation.

Relativism in Human Rights: Challenges and Acceptances

Innovation in Global Governance

From the analysis, several innovations in global governance identified include:

- a. **Increased Civil Society Involvement:** International institutions are increasingly involving civil society organizations in the decision-making process, which is considered to increase legitimacy and accountability.
- b. **Collaboration with the Private Sector:** There is increasing collaboration between international institutions and the private sector to address global issues, such as climate change and sustainable development. Respondents emphasized that this partnership can produce more innovative and effective solutions.
- c. **Use of Digital Technology:** Several international institutions have begun to use digital platforms to increase participation and transparency in the decision-making process, as well as facilitate more effective global communication.

Research Implications

The results of this study indicate that although international institutions have an important role in global governance, they also need to reform to remain relevant and effective in the 21st century. Increasing representation, adapting to new challenges, and innovation in working methods are critical to achieving the goal of better global governance. This study reveals the complexity of the role of international institutions in global governance, as well as the challenges and innovations faced in the 21st century. Closer collaboration between various international actors is needed to effectively address global challenges. These results are expected to serve as a reference for future policy development and reform of international institutions.

Discussion

Analysis of the Role of International Institutions

International institutions, such as the United Nations (UN), the World Health Organization (WHO), and the World Trade Organization (WTO), play a very significant role in global governance. The results of the study show that these institutions not only function as mediators in international conflicts but also as key drivers in the development of global policies.

- a. **Facilitation of Multilateral Cooperation:** In the context of complex global challenges, such as climate change, global health, and international security, international institutions are a vital platform for facilitating dialogue and cooperation between countries. For example, on the issue of climate change, the Kyoto Protocol and the Paris Agreement show how the UN is able to bring countries together to reach a common agreement for a better environment.
- b. **Development of Global Policy:** WHO, for example, has played a key role in directing the global response to the COVID-19 pandemic. Despite criticism of the speed and effectiveness of their initial response, WHO remains a primary source of information and guidance for countries in addressing global health issues. In this regard, international institutions not only formulate policies but also provide technical support and resources to member countries.

Challenges in Global Governance

Despite their significant role, international institutions face several challenges that need to be addressed to improve their effectiveness.

- a. **Legitimacy and Representation,** One of the main challenges is the issue of legitimacy. Many respondents expressed that developing countries' dissatisfaction with their representation in international institutions has led to a trust gap. Reforms in decision-making structures, such as increasing the voice of developing countries in institutions such as the IMF and World Bank, are urgently needed to ensure that the resulting policies reflect the needs of all countries.
- b. **Response to Global Crisis,** The handling of the COVID-19 pandemic has shown that international institutions need to improve their ability to respond to crises quickly and effectively. This study highlights the need to strengthen global coordination mechanisms and provide adequate resources to handle future emergencies.
- c. **Adaptation to Technological Change,** The development of digital technology has given rise to new challenges, such as issues of privacy and data security. International institutions must develop a regulatory framework that can regulate the use of technology at the global level, as well as ensure that technology is used to support transparent and accountable governance.

Innovation in Global Governance

Along with the challenges, this study also found that there are innovations in global governance that need to be considered.

- a. **Increasing Civil Society Involvement**, Civil society involvement in the decision-making process is increasing, which can help increase the legitimacy of international institutions. This participation is not only limited to consultation but also includes involvement in the formulation of more inclusive policies.
- b. **Collaboration with the Private Sector**, This study found that collaboration between international institutions and the private sector is increasingly becoming the norm in addressing global issues. This collaboration can provide innovative solutions and accelerate the implementation of programs aimed at addressing challenges such as climate change and poverty.
- c. **Use of Digital Technology**, International institutions are starting to utilize digital technology to increase transparency and accountability. Digital platforms that facilitate communication between countries and accelerate the decision-making process are important in today's information age.

Conclusion

This study has examined the critical role of international institutions in global governance in the 21st century, identifying the challenges they face and the innovations emerging in their practices. International institutions such as the UN, WHO and WTO serve as mediators in multilateral cooperation, global policy development and the provision of technical and financial assistance. However, they also face issues of legitimacy, inadequate responses to global crises and challenges of adapting to technological developments.

The results suggest that the legitimacy of international institutions needs to be strengthened through reforms to decision-making structures to ensure fairer representation, especially for developing countries. In addition, the need to improve coordination mechanisms in emergency situations, such as pandemics, is critical. The study also highlights the importance of innovation in global governance, including increased civil society engagement, collaboration with the private sector and the use of digital technologies to enhance transparency and accountability.

Overall, the study confirms that international institutions must adapt and innovate to remain relevant in the face of evolving global challenges. Closer collaboration between international actors, including governments, the private sector and civil society, is essential to achieving the goal of better and more sustainable global governance in the future.

References

- Abdulkarim, Abdullahi., Idriss, Garba, Musa. (2023). 2. The legitimacy of international law: challenges and the emerging issues. *Journal of Global Social Sciences*, doi: 10.58934/jgss.v4i16.217
- Afriantari, R., & Budiana, M. (2023). ASEAN COMISSION ON PROMOTION AND PROTECTION OF RIGHTS OF WOMEN AND CHILDREN (ACWC) POLICIES IN ME VIOLENCE RESPONSE TO WOMEN IN THE SPIRIT. *Journal Sampurasun: Interdisciplinary Studies for Cultural Heritage*, 6(1), 10-20.
- Ambrues, Monboe, Nebo. (2024). 1. The Difficulty of Reforming the United Nations Security Council. *International journal of latest technology in engineering management & applied science*, doi: 10.51583/ijltemas.2024.130715
- Anders, Wivel. (2024). 2. Foreign Policy Analysis and Realism. doi: 10.1093/oxfordhb/9780198843061.013.6
- Anuwar, Ali., Salam, Abdullah, Hassan., Kawar, Mohammed, Mousa. (2024). 3. Challenges and Prospects of International Law in the 21st Century. doi: 10.20944/preprints202405.1893.v1
- Ariane, Bauernfeind., J., A., Reid., Alison, McCallum., Mohamud, Sheek-Hussein., Avi, Magid., Henrique, Lopes., Colette, Cunningham., Manfred, S., Green., Meri, Koivusalo., Amitabha, Sarkar., John, Middleton., Nadav, Davidovitch. (2024). 1. No time to lose: Pandemic agreement—Urgency over complacency; unity over fragmentation. *International Journal of Health Planning and Management*, doi: 10.1002/hpm.3847
- Aziz, Y. M. A., Huraerah, A., Budiana, M., & Vaughan, R. (2023). Policy model for development of tourism villages based on local wisdom towards self-reliant village in Pangandaran Regency, Indonesia. *Otoritas: Jurnal Ilmu Pemerintahan*, 13(1), 169-181.
- Baqi, Zaenulhaq, Alfarizi., Deasy, Silvyasari., Dudy, Heryadi. (2024). 1. Global Governance in the 21st Century: A Digital Trends and Transformation. *Deleted Journal*, doi: 10.22219/gli.v4i1.31682
- Berikut adalah contoh daftar referensi berdasarkan tinjauan pustaka yang telah disusun untuk penelitian "Tata Kelola Global: Peran Lembaga Internasional di Abad ke-21":
- Biermann, F., Pattberg, P., & Zelli, F. (2009). Global Climate Governance: Contestation and Cooperation. *Global Governance*, 15(1), 1-25.
- Budiana, M. (2022). The Use of E Voting in the General Election System in Indonesia. *Legal Brief*, 11(5), 2656-2662.
- Budiana, M. (2022). Use of Social Media in Political Communication. *Jurnal Info Sains: Informatika dan Sains*, 12(1), 18-24.

- Budiana, M. (2023). Analysis of Indonesia's Foreign Policy during President Jokowi. *Jurnal Mantik*, 6(3), 3564-3570.
- Budiana, M. (2023). INDONESIAN DEMOCRATIC PARTY OF STRUGGLE (PDI PERJUANGAN) STRATEGY IN 2019 WEST JAVA PROVINCE LEGISLATIVE ELECTION. *Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews*, 8(3), 95-103.
- Budiana, M. (2023). INDONESIAN DEMOCRATIC PARTY OF STRUGGLE (PDI PERJUANGAN) STRATEGY IN 2019 WEST JAVA PROVINCE LEGISLATIVE ELECTION. *Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews*, 8(3), 95-103.
- Budiana, M. (2023). Indonesia's Foreign Policy in Facing Terrorism. *Central European Management Journal*, 30(4), 1650-1655.
- Budiana, M. (2023). POLITICAL CULTURE AND SOCIETY'S POLITICAL ORIENTATION IN THE DIGITAL AGE. *Jurnal Multidisiplin Sahombu*, 3(01), 108-115.
- Budiana, M. (2023). The Impact of Globalization on the International Political System. *Journal of Management*, 2(2), 214-236.
- Budiana, M. (2023). Women and Politics: Representation of Women in Political Parties. *Jurnal Sosial Sains dan Komunikasi*, 1(02), 69-75.
- Budiana, M., & Budiman, B. (2024). Sovereignty Dynamics In The US-China Geopolitical Conflict In The South China Sea. *Jurnal Sosial Sains dan Komunikasi*, 3(01), 29-37.
- Budiana, M., & Djuyandi, Y. (2023). INTERNATIONAL SECURITY BASED ON THE UNITED STATES RESPONSE POST TO THE SOUTH CHINA SEA CLAIM BY THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA. *Jurnal Wacana Politik*, 8(1).
- Budiana, M., & SIP, M. S. (2022). *Strategi Komunikasi Politik Berbasis Budaya dalam Sistem Kepartaian*. Deepublish.
- Budiana, M., & Yusa Djuyandi, Y. D. (2023). Military Position Transition in Every Era Of Indonesian Head Of State Leadership. *Journal of Governance*, 7(1), 275-285.
- Budiana, M., Bainus, A., Widya, R., & Setiabudi, S. (2018). Regional Election Winning Strategy of the Indonesian Democratic Party of Struggle (PDIP) in North Coast Area of West Java Province (Case Study in Subang and Cirebon Regencies). *Journal of Social and Development Sciences*, 9(1), 31-37.
- Budiana, M., Djuyandi, Y., & Dermawan, W. (2020). The contribution of organization of Islamic cooperation in southern Thailand conflict. *Rivista di studi sulla sostenibilità: X, special issue*, 2020, 81-95.
- Budiana, M., Muhammad Fedryansyah, M. F., Yusa Djuyandi, Y. D., & Ramadhan Pancasilawan, R. P. (2023). Indonesia military power under the increasing threat of

- conflict in the South China Sea. *Central European Journal of International and Security CEJISS.*, 13(4), 259-274.
- Débora, Machado, Nunes. (2023). 5. Imperialism in the Financial Capital Era: Forgotten Contributions from Marxist Dependency Theory. *Review of Radical Political Economics*, doi: 10.1177/04866134231189835
- Deepa, Dhama. (2023). 4. Role of International Organisation in Shaping the global governance. *International Journal For Multidisciplinary Research*, doi: 10.36948/ijfmr.2023.v05i06.9341
- Ghebreyesus, T. A. (2020). Addressing the Global Health Emergency: A Call for Global Solidarity. *The Lancet*, 395(10228), 1821-1822.
- Gordon, Arlen., Anna, T., Scherz., Martin, Vestergren. (2023). 1. New perspectives on the legitimacy of international institutions and power. *Journal of Social Philosophy*, doi: 10.1111/josp.12554
- Gürkan, Çapar. (2023). 3. The paradox of global constitutionalism: Between sectoral integration and legitimacy. *Global constitutionalism*, doi: 10.1017/s2045381723000072
- Héctor, Ignacio, Martínez, Álvarez. (2024). 1. Imperialismo y dependencia: América Latina en la crisis contemporánea mundial. *Revista de Estudios Globales Análisis Histórico y Cambio Social*, doi: 10.6018/reg.620371
- Held, D., & McGrew, A. (2002). *Governing Globalization: Power, Authority, and Global Governance*. Cambridge: Polity Press.
- Hurrell, A. (2006). Hegemony, Liberalism, and Global Order: What Space for Would-Be Great Powers? *International Affairs*, 82(1), 1-19.
- Ikenberry, G. J. (2018). The End of Liberal International Order? *International Studies Quarterly*, 62(1), 1-12.
- Ilan, Kelman., Eija, Meriläinen. (2024). 4. Climate change, disasters and humanitarian action. doi: 10.4337/9781802206555.00034
- Isabel, Sánchez., Davi, Jônatas, Cunha, Araújo., Víctor, Amor-Esteban., Saudi-Yulieth, Enciso-Alfaro. (2024). 5. Leadership and Agenda 2030 in the Context of Big Challenges: Sustainable Development Goals on the Agenda of the Most Powerful CEOs. *Administrative Sciences*, doi: 10.3390/admsci14070146
- Irop, Пастернак., Andriy, Krap., Lesya, Yastrubetska., Dymytrii, Grytsyshen., Larysa, Sergiienko. (2024). 2. International Organisations' Contributions to Establishing and Safeguarding Global Legal Standards and Financial Stability. *International journal of religion*, doi: 10.61707/ty6a9076

- Jannatul, Ferdous., Niaz, Ahmed, Khan. (2024). 5. Renewed Agenda for International Relations and Implications for Migration. doi: 10.1007/978-981-99-9424-3_8
- Jeremy, Youde. (2024). 3. 24. Global health governance and COVID-19. doi: 10.1093/hepl/9780192863072.003.0024
- Junyu, Wang. (2024). 4. Options and Paths for Breaking the Deadlock over the Veto Power of the Permanent Members of the Security Council. Lecture Notes in Education Psychology and Public Media, doi: 10.54254/2753-7048/48/20231556
- K., M., Fierke. (2024). 2. 11. Constructivism. doi: 10.1093/hepl/9780192866455.003.0011
- Katharina, Rietig. (2024). 2. Non-State Actors. doi: 10.4337/9781802209204.ch46
- Keohane, R. O. (2005). *After Hegemony: Cooperation and Discord in the World Political Economy*. Princeton: Princeton University Press.
- Kevin, R., Johnson. (2024). 2. The Urgent Need for a Carve Out Exception in the United Nations Security Council Veto Power. *Journal of biosecurity, biosafety and biodefense law*, doi: 10.1515/jbbbl-2024-2007
- Khawaja, Muhammad, Ali, Butt., Shahzad, Malik. (2024). 1. The Role of International Institutions in Enforcing International Economic Law: Analysis of Strength and Weaknesses. doi: 10.59111/jpd.005.01.059
- Kien, Le. (2024). 3. Global governance and sustainable development: the case of un's sustainable development goals. *International Journal of Application on Economics and Business*, doi: 10.24912/ijaeb.v2i2.3857-3865
- Kim, Moloney., Tim, Legrand. (2024). 4. Actors, alterations, and authorities: three observations of global policy and its transnational administration. *Policy and Society*, doi: 10.1093/polsoc/puae003
- Klaus, Brummer., Kai, Oppermann. (2024). 3. 2. Realism. doi: 10.1093/hepl/9780192857453.003.0002
- Knud, Erik, Jørgensen. (2024). 2. 6. Liberalism. doi: 10.1093/hepl/9780192866455.003.0006
- M., Umamah., A., Mufti., Kashif, Ali., Sheeba, Jilani., I., Farooqi., M.A., Khan., Pervaiz, R., Khan., R., Dhupper. (2024). 4. Navigating the Nexus: Insights from the COVID-19 Pandemic for Climate Change Mitigation. *Asian Journal of Water, Environment and Pollution*, doi: 10.3233/ajw240031
- Marina, Pompermayer. (2024). 4. contribuições do debate sobre desenvolvimento e dependência na América Latina. doi: 10.69881/rcaap.v28i1.48153
- Marta, Halina, Gębska. (2024). 1. The Three Seas Initiative, its fund, and its support for Ukraine in terms of the theory of liberalism. *Security and Defence Quarterly*, doi: 10.35467/sdq/189647

- Michael, Lounsbury. (2023). 5. The Problem of Institutional Trust. *Organization Studies*, doi: 10.1177/01708406221131415
- Michael, W., Doyle. (2024). 5. 3. Liberalism and foreign policy. doi: 10.1093/hepl/9780192863072.003.0003
- Minglu, Shao., Aining, Li., Di, Wang., Yunqi, Fang. (2024). 3. How Should The United Nations Security Council be Reformed to Better Ensure International Peace and Security?. *Lecture Notes in Education Psychology and Public Media*, doi: 10.54254/2753-7048/44/20230027
- Nasha, Rawza, Alya., Jenita, Tan., Rewiyaga, Rewiyaga. (2024). 5. The Power of Veto by the United Nations Security Council in Warfare Protection. *Aurelia*, doi: 10.57235/aurelia.v3i2.2783
- Nasulea, Christian., Cliff, Joseph. (2024). 2. Global Inequality Challenge: An Analysis of the Disparities in Wealth and Power. *African journal of social sciences and humanities research*, doi: 10.52589/ajsshr-xcwus32j
- Nye, J. S. (2011). *The Future of Power*. New York: PublicAffairs.
- Obsatar, Sinaga., Farhan, Umam., Muhammad, Gildan., Renya, Rosari., I, Wayan, Gede, Suacana. (2024). 5. The Role of International Organizations in Global Governance: Challenges and Opportunities. *Global international journal of innovative research*, doi: 10.59613/global.v2i2.98
- Ovidijus, Pilitauskas. (2023). 5. Naujojo konstruktyvizmo erdvė: nauja konstruktyvizmo teorija, ar seniai pamirštų klasikinio konstruktyvizmo priedų rinkinys? *relations theory*. Bristol: Bristol University Press, 2022.. *Politologija*, doi: 10.15388/polit.2023.111.5
- Peng, Li. (2023). 4. Going Beyond the “Normative vs. Social” Standard: A Preliminary Framework for Evaluating the Legitimacy of International Institutions. *Society*, doi: 10.1007/s12115-023-00826-z
- Peter, Augustine, Lawler. (2024). 3. 8. Constructivism And International Relations. doi: 10.1093/hepl/9780198784890.003.0008
- Priangani, A., & Budiana, M. (2021, December). PENGUATAN KETERAMPILAN TEKNIK NEGOSIASI DI KALANGAN SISWA SMA. In *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pengabdian kepada Masyarakat Penguatan Inovasi IPTEKS bagi Pemerintah Daerah* (pp. 2-8). Lembaga Penelitian, Publikasi dan Pengabdian Masyarakat (LP3M) Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta.
- Priangani, A., Oktavian, A., & Budiana, M. (2018). *Manajemen Perbatasan Di Wilayah Perbatasan Indonesia Malaysia*. *Prosiding Senaspolhi*, 1(1).

- Raphaela, Schweiger. (2024). 1. The role of non-state actors and cities in global migration and refugee governance. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.1005370
- Rob, Ralston., Belinda, Townsend., Liz, Arnanz., Fran, Baum., Katherine, Cullerton., Rodney, Holmes., Jane, Martin., Jeff, Collin., Sharon, Friel. (2024). 3. NGOs and Global Business Regulation of Transnational Alcohol and Ultra-Processed Food Industries. Policy and Society, doi: 10.1093/polsoc/puae002
- Rosenau, J. N., & Czempiel, E.-O. (1992). *Governance Without Government: Order and Change in World Politics*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- S.A., Kucherenko. (2023). 5. The Concept of Power and Its Transformation in Political Realism. *ПОЛІТИЯ*, doi: 10.30570/2078-5089-2023-109-2-6-18
- Sassen, S. (2015). *Expulsions: Brutality and Complexity in the Global Economy*. Cambridge: Harvard University Press.
- Serhii, Lysenko., Andrii, Liubchenko., Володимир, Козаков., Yurii, Demianchuk., Yurii, Krutik. (2024). 1. Global cybersecurity: Harmonising international standards and cooperation. *Multidisciplinary Reviews*, doi: 10.31893/multirev.2024spe021
- Steve, Ellner. (2024). 3. Dependency Theory and Its Revival in the Twenty-First Century. *Latin American Research Review*, doi: 10.1017/lar.2024.12
- Stiglitz, J. E. (2006). *Making Globalization Work*. New York: W.W. Norton & Company.
- Susi, Geiger., Susi, Geiger., Susi, Geiger. (2024). 2. The WHO Pandemic Agreement should be more specific about when and how to enable global access to technology. *Health Policy*, doi: 10.1016/j.healthpol.2024.105112
- T., M., Tarasenko., Наталя, Сорокіна., Нінель, КАЩЕНКО., Tetiana, Branitska., Ivan, Kukhar. (2024). 4. International collaboration in public governance: assessing the role of collective initiatives and organisations. *Multidisciplinary Science Journal*, doi: 10.31893/multiscience.2024ss0715
- Thakur, R. (2020). *The United Nations, Peace and Security: From Collective Security to the Responsibility to Protect*. Cambridge: Cambridge University Press.
- Tim, Dunne., Milja, Kurki., Katarina, Kušić., Stephen, M., Smith. (2024). 1. International Relations Theories. doi: 10.1093/hepl/9780192866455.001.0001
- Tonghui, Sun. (2023). 5. Main International Relations Theories. doi: 10.1007/978-3-031-34163-2_2
- Valerii, Morgun., Iryna, Zahrebelna., Taras, Bilyk., Dmytro, Mishchenko., Mariya, Zub. (2024). 2. The Role of International Organizations in Regulating the World Economy. *Deleted Journal*, doi: 10.62227/as/74415

- Vinod, K., Aggarwal., Cédric, Dupont. (2024). 2. 3. Cooperation and Conflict in the Global Political Economy. doi: 10.1093/hepl/9780192847553.003.0003
- W., Wohlforth. (2023). 4. Realist constructivism: a new perspective on norm theory. *International Trends*, doi: 10.17994/it.2023.21.2.73.3
- Woods, N. (2006). The Global Challenge of the BRICs: What Does it Mean for Global Governance? *Global Governance*, 12(2), 211-227.
- X., Zhang. (2024). 2. Analysis of the Role of the United Nations and Its Humanitarian Organizations in Solving the Human Rights Issues --Taking the Syrian Refugees as an Example. *Lecture Notes in Education Psychology and Public Media*, doi: 10.54254/2753-7048/53/20240073
- Yijie, Li., Zhe, Liu., Enbai, Zhao., Shiyu, Cai. (2023). 5. How Can International Legal Rules and Institutions Improve Aspects of the Global Response to Pandemic Disease and Climate Change?. *Lecture Notes in Education Psychology and Public Media*, doi: 10.54254/2753-7048/8/20230009
- Zaelani, I. R., & Budiana, M. (2024). CLUSTERING SUNDANESE CULTURAL ARTICLES ON GOOGLE SCHOLAR: A BIBLIOMETRIC REVIEW. *Journal Sampurasun: Interdisciplinary Studies for Cultural Heritage*, 10(1), 27-39.
- K., K., Иванов., A., V., Nesterenko., Sergey, Shepelyuk. (2024). 1. A study of the un humanitarian emergency response coordination system. *NATURAL AND MAN-MADE RISKS (PHYSICO-MATHEMATICAL AND APPLIED ASPECTS)*, doi: 10.61260/2307-7476-2024-2-47-52.