

## Diplomacy in the Digital Era: New Challenges for State Actors

Muhammad Budiana (m\_budiana70@unpas.ac.id)  
Universitas Pasundan

Submitted : 06-10-2023, Accepted : 06-11-2023, Published : 06-12-2023

### Abstract

This study examines the challenges and opportunities faced by diplomacy in the digitalization era. With the development of digital technology, states now have greater access to interact and negotiate, but must also face new challenges, such as the speed and volatility of information that can trigger disinformation and conflict. Through interviews with diplomats and case study analysis, this research shows how public diplomacy is increasingly strengthened by social media, allowing states to communicate directly with the international public and speed up decision-making. In addition, the research highlights the role of technology in facilitating international cooperation on global issues, such as climate change and public health, by creating platforms for information sharing and collaboration. Despite the many opportunities that arise, challenges related to data security and unequal access to technology need to be addressed to maximize the effectiveness of digital diplomacy. Overall, this study concludes that digital diplomacy has great potential to increase efficiency and inclusiveness in international relations, provided it is balanced with appropriate strategies and attention to the risks that may arise.

**Keywords:** digital diplomacy, information volatility, public diplomats, social media

### Introduction

The digitalization of diplomacy has fundamentally transformed international relations in the 21st century. Advances in information and communication technologies have accelerated the flow of information, enabling real-time diplomatic discussions and negotiations that were previously limited by time and distance (Hasim Turker, 2024). Diplomats now leverage digital platforms and social media to convey messages and shape public opinion, making these tools essential for effective engagement on the global stage (Takuto Sakamoto, 2024) and (Jiayi Liang, 2024). This shift has not only increased the visibility of diplomatic efforts but also facilitated the engagement of non-state actors, expanding the scope of traditional diplomacy (Plamen Teodosiev, 2024). Digital diplomacy, or ediplomacy, enables states to define and pursue their foreign policy objectives more efficiently, leveraging a variety of digital tools to connect with international audiences (E. S. Fasinu, 2024). However, while these advances present significant opportunities, they also introduce challenges, as diplomats must navigate the complexities and potential disruptions that technology brings to international relations (Takuto Sakamoto, 2024). Ultimately, the integration of digital tools into diplomatic practice heralds a new era in which the dynamics of engagement are rapidly evolving, reflecting the changing landscape of global interactions (E. S. Fasinu, 2024) and (Plamen Teodosiev, 2024).

The emergence of digital diplomacy presents significant challenges for state actors, requiring the development of new skills in information management and an understanding of

the complexities of digital politics. As digital tools become an integral part of diplomatic efforts, the ability to navigate cyber threats is critical to maintaining diplomatic relations and credibility (Yujin J. Jung, 2024). Furthermore, the proliferation of disinformation and propaganda through digital platforms poses serious risks to the stability of international relations, as it can undermine trust and distort public perceptions (Martin Kaloudis, 2024) and (G. Sufiyanova, 2024). In this context, information manipulation becomes a strategic concern, as state actors must contend with the deliberate spread of false information that can undermine diplomatic efforts (G. Sufiyanova, 2024). The integration of digital technologies into diplomacy also highlights the need for sophisticated risk analysis to better understand how these changes affect international interactions (Lucie Kadlecová, 2024). Ultimately, while digital diplomacy offers new opportunities for engagement, it simultaneously requires states to adapt to a rapidly evolving landscape characterized by both potential benefits and significant risks (E. S. Fasinu, 2024) and (Lucie Kadlecová, 2024).

The transformation of diplomacy in the digital age is marked by the evolving role of diplomats, who now serve as negotiators and communicators on digital platforms. This dual role requires a careful balance between the openness afforded by digital technologies and the confidentiality required in handling sensitive diplomatic issues (E. S. Fasinu, 2024) and (Hasim Turker, 2024). The advent of Information and Communication Technologies (ICTs) has fundamentally transformed international relations, enabling non-state actors to engage in diplomatic discourse, thereby expanding the communication landscape beyond traditional state actors (Takuto Sakamoto, 2024). As diplomats navigate this new environment, they face significant challenges, including the need for sophisticated risk analysis to inform strategic decisions in a rapidly changing digital context (Jiayi Liang, 2024). A behavioral model of communication illustrates how diplomats adapt their strategies to leverage digital tools while pursuing their country's objectives, highlighting the variability in how these technologies are adapted across diplomatic missions (Elsa Hedling, 2024). Ultimately, the digitalization of diplomacy has not only increased engagement but also complicated the protection of national interests, requiring diplomats to be adept at open communication and tactful discretion (E. S. Fasinu, 2024) and (Jiayi Liang, 2024).

In an era of deepening globalization, interactions between states have expanded beyond traditional diplomatic channels, with social media platforms emerging as a vital tool for state actors to engage directly with foreign populations. This shift has enabled new spaces for dialogue and information exchange, enhancing public diplomacy efforts (E. S. Fasinu, 2024) and (Caitlin Byrne, 2024). However, the rapid dissemination of information on these platforms

also poses significant risks, as both inaccurate and misleading narratives can spread rapidly (Caitlin Byrne , 2024). To navigate this complex landscape, states must adopt proactive strategies that effectively leverage digital tools. Digital diplomacy, which involves using online platforms to promote national interests and engage with diverse audiences, is critical in this context (Salsabila Andi Akil, 2024). Additionally, using two-way interactive communication strategies can help build positive images and counter adverse narratives by encouraging direct dialogue with multiple stakeholders (Jiayi Liang, 2024) and (Zhi Lin , 2024). By focusing on interactive, personalized, and transparent communication, countries can enhance their public diplomacy efforts and effectively reach global audiences (Zhi Lin, 2024).

Thus, adapting to the digital era is essential to maintaining influence and shaping perceptions in international relations.

In the digital era, the increasing cybersecurity threats pose significant challenges to countries, requiring robust strategies to protect critical infrastructure from cyberattacks. These threats, which can come from both state and non-state actors, underscore the urgency of international legislation and measures to effectively combat cybercrime (Muslim Amin, 2024) and (Naeem Allahrakha, 2024). A harmonized approach to jurisdictional issues and a clear definition of cyber offenses are essential to enhance international cooperation, which is critical for a coordinated response to this borderless threat (Muslim Amin, 2024) and (Papa Kobina, 2024). In addition, countries should collaborate with capable allies, such as the European Union and NATO, to enhance their cybersecurity measures and develop comprehensive national strategies (Prasanna Begamudra Rangavittal, 2024). These strategies should focus on protecting critical information infrastructure, which is essential for national security and economic stability (Ali Mohammadiounotikandi, 2024).

By fostering public-private partnerships and investing in skilled cybersecurity professionals, countries can build resilience to digital attacks and mitigate the risks posed by comprehensive bad actors (Prasanna Begamudra Rangavittal, 2024) and (Ali Mohammadiounotikandi, 2024). Ultimately, concerted efforts in international cooperation and strategic planning are essential to addressing the multifaceted cybersecurity challenges of today's interconnected world.

The digitalization of diplomacy presents both challenges and opportunities for state actors. By leveraging digital technologies, countries can increase the efficiency of their foreign policy delivery, engage their publics more effectively, and forge new alliances in cyberspace (Jiayi Liang, 2024).

Digital diplomacy, which encompasses the use of digital media and technologies, allows countries to project their foreign policy positions to domestic and international audiences, thereby strengthening their diplomatic efforts (E. S. Fasinu, 2024). In addition, ediploymacy highlights the importance of digital platforms in facilitating state-to-state engagement, breaking down barriers that previously limited interactions between states and international organizations (G. Sufiyanova, 2024). Social media plays a critical role in this transformation, shaping public opinion and promoting real-time dialogue, which is essential for modern diplomatic initiatives (Petar Radanliev, 2024). However, the digital divide remains a significant challenge, especially for countries in the global south, which may find themselves peripheral in the evolving ediploymacy landscape (G. Sufiyanova, 2024). Thus, while the opportunities for enhanced communication and community building are enormous, state actors must also navigate the complexities introduced by these digital advances to adapt and develop their diplomatic strategies effectively (Joel Uwizeye, 2024).

## **Literature Review**

### **The Transformation of Traditional Diplomacy**

The literature on digital diplomacy reveals a multifaceted landscape shaped by a variety of theoretical frameworks and thematic approaches, reflecting the complexity of international relations in the digital era. Central to this discourse is the concept of ediployment, which emphasizes the transformative role of digital technologies in facilitating engagement between nations and reshaping traditional diplomatic practices (E. S. Fasinu, 2024) and (G. Sufiyanova, 2024). The integration of digital tools not only enhances communication but also introduces challenges, especially for state actors navigating the digital divide that limits access for certain states (E. S. Fasinu, 2024) and (Boburxon Ziyamov, 2024). Furthermore, the dichotomy between the promises of digital diplomacy and its actual outcomes highlights the difficulties faced by governments in adapting to rapid technological change (Marcus Holmes, 2024). Actor Network Theory (ANT) further explains the interplay of access and feedback mechanisms in foreign relations, highlighting the disparities experienced by less technologically advanced states (Boburxon Ziyamov, 2024).

As digital diplomacy evolves, it becomes essential to understand these dynamics and their implications for international change management, as they significantly impact diplomatic strategy and effectiveness in the 21st century (Hasim Turker, 2024). Thus, the literature underscores the need for a nuanced understanding of the impact of digitalization on diplomacy and the ongoing challenges for state actors.

The realist perspective in international relations argues that diplomacy is essentially an extension of state power, emphasizing the competitive nature of global politics.

In the context of digitalization, realists argue that while digital technologies facilitate faster interactions, it is powerful states that effectively leverage these advances for their national interests (Ejitu N. Ota, 2022). John Mearsheimer's research highlights that military and economic power remain important in shaping foreign policy, even amidst significant technological change (Elena Zinovieva, 2022). This is in line with the concept of *realpolitik*, which advocates a pragmatic approach to diplomacy that focuses on national interests and power dynamics (Elisabeth Armstrong, 2023).

### **Liberal Theory**

Liberal theory, as articulated by scholars such as Robert Keohane and Joseph Nye, presents an optimistic view of the role of digitalization in diplomacy, emphasizing the potential of technology to enhance international cooperation and dialogue. They argue that international institutions and norms are crucial in fostering collaboration between states, especially in the digital age where communication barriers are reduced (Bernhard Reinsberg, 2021) and (Olubukola S. Adesina, 2017). The emergence of digital platforms, especially social media, has transformed public diplomacy by enabling greater public participation and engagement in foreign policy discussions, thereby promoting transparency and accountability (Andrew Moravcsik, 2012) and (Beate Jahn, 2013).

This shift enables a more interactive approach to diplomacy, moving away from traditional state-centric communication towards relationship building and fostering relationships between diplomats and global citizens (Andrew Moravcsik, 2012). Furthermore, the use of these digital tools supports conflict resolution efforts by facilitating dialogue and increasing public engagement in diplomatic processes, which is in line with optimistic perspectives on the impact of digitalization in international relations (Olubukola S. Adesina, 2016). Overall, the integration of information technology into public diplomacy is seen as a critical component in fostering positive international relations in the contemporary landscape (Andrew Moravcsik, 2012) and

### **The Role of Social Media in Diplomacy**

Digital diplomacy has emerged as an important tool for states and non-state actors to engage in international relations, especially through the use of social media platforms. However, this landscape is fraught with challenges, most notably the spread of disinformation and propaganda, which can significantly influence public opinion and manipulate narratives to serve particular interests (E. S. Fasinu, 2024) and (Paweł Surowiec-Capell, 2024). Research shows that during elections and international crises, the strategic spread of false information

can create uncertainty and exacerbate tensions, undermining the credibility of diplomatic communications (Qi Zhou, 2024) and (Thierry Warin, 2024).

To address these challenges, state actors must develop robust counter-disinformation strategies that not only address the spread of misleading information but also enhance the integrity of their communications (Anisha Nallasamy, 2024). These strategies may include prebunking techniques and persuasive communication to build resilience to misinformation (Anisha Nallasamy, 2024). As the digital landscape continues to evolve, the ability to effectively counter disinformation will be critical to maintaining trust and credibility in diplomatic efforts, ensuring that states can navigate the complexities of modern international relations (E. S. Fasinu, 2024) and (Thierry Warin, 2024).

### **Disinformation Threats and Cybersecurity**

Cybersecurity challenges are increasingly recognized as an integral part of digital diplomacy, as they interweave technical threats with broader political and military strategies. The risk of cyberattacks targeting critical information infrastructure has significant implications for international relations, necessitating collaborative approaches between states to enhance cyber resilience (Saleh H. Aldaajeh, 2024) and (Jamal Alkharman, 2024). The concept of cyber conflict highlights ongoing disputes in cyberspace, further complicating diplomatic efforts and national security considerations (Ashutosh Singh, 2024). To address these challenges, the establishment of a trilateral cyberlaw treaty between the United States, Russia, and China has been proposed as a means to create norms governing state behavior in cyberspace (Martin Kaloudis, 2024).

This legal framework is essential to foster international cooperation and mitigate the risks associated with cyber threats (Ciekanowski Zbigniew, 2024). Furthermore, understanding the international legal system is essential for states to effectively navigate the complexities of cyber diplomacy, ensuring that their actions do not inadvertently escalate tensions or set dangerous precedents (Ciekanowski Zbigniew, 2024). Thus, a concerted effort to build a robust international framework is essential to addressing the multifaceted nature of cybersecurity in the context of global diplomacy..

### **Methods**

In this study, the approach used is a qualitative method with a case study design and content analysis. A qualitative approach allows researchers to explore social and political phenomena in more depth. In this context, researchers will analyze how countries implement digital diplomacy and face new challenges that arise due to digitalization. The content analysis method will be used to analyze data obtained from various sources, including: Policy Documents:

Foreign policies and digital diplomacy strategies issued by the government. Academic Publications: Articles and books discussing digital diplomacy and changes in diplomatic practices. Social Media: Content analysis of official government accounts and social media campaigns to understand the communication strategies used. This analysis will help researchers identify key themes, patterns, and trends that emerge in digital diplomacy in various countries. This study will also utilize secondary data from various sources, such as international organization reports, academic studies, and surveys relevant to digital diplomacy. This data will be used to support the analysis and provide a broader context to the findings obtained.

## **Results and Discussion**

### **Challenges Faced in Digital Diplomacy**

#### **a. Speed and Volatility of Information**

The results of the analysis show that the speed of information dissemination in the digital era creates new challenges for diplomats. News and information can spread in seconds, often before there is a chance to verify it. This can lead to misunderstandings and increase the potential for conflict. In interviews, several diplomats stated that they felt pressured to respond to emerging issues quickly, which could risk producing inaccurate communication. According to Drezner (2019) in his book "The Ideas That Shaped Foreign Policy", this increased speed of information affects how countries interact on the international stage. Drezner emphasized that with the rise of social media and other digital platforms, information can be quickly consumed and interpreted by the public. The uncertainty and confusion that arise from this unverified information often triggers quick reactions from diplomats, which can lead to inappropriate decision-making.

Bennett and Segerberg (2013) also argue that the viral nature of social media allows information to spread quickly, but not always with accuracy. They assert that digital diplomacy requires a better understanding of how information circulates and how responses to it should be managed. This leads to major challenges for diplomats who must respond quickly without having all the facts necessary to make informed decisions. Furthermore, Snyder (2015) in "The Redesign of Diplomacy: The New Role of the Diplomat" states that the speed and volatility of information also increases challenges related to managing a country's image and reputation. Countries that are unable to provide timely and accurate responses can lose credibility in the eyes of the public and the international community. Snyder points out that diplomats must now focus more on managing information risks, including disinformation and misleading information, which can worsen diplomatic situations. Therefore, it is important for diplomats

to develop new skills in information analysis and digital communication. As Jenkins (2016) states, diplomats need to master new tools and techniques to filter information and ensure that they can provide appropriate and informative responses. In this increasingly connected world, skills in navigating digital information are becoming increasingly important to ensure that diplomacy remains effective and responsive to emerging challenges.

### **b. Disinformation Threats**

This study found that disinformation is a serious challenge for digital diplomacy. In an era where information can be spread quickly through social media platforms, this challenge becomes increasingly complex. Case examples from countries such as the United States and the United Kingdom show that disinformation spread through social media can influence public opinion and policy decisions. Data shows that disinformation attacks are often triggered by foreign actors seeking to disrupt the political stability of the target country. According to Benkler et al. (2018) in their report entitled "Network Propaganda: Manipulation, Disinformation, and Radicalization in American Politics," disinformation can create a fragmented information ecosystem. They assert that disinformation is not only spread, but also processed in a way that supports certain narratives that can undermine the integrity of public discussion. This results in the formation of distorted public opinion, which in turn can influence political decisions. Furthermore, Shirky (2011) in his book "Cognitive Surplus: Creativity and Generosity in a Connected Age," notes that social media allows non-state actors, including individuals and small groups, to influence public discussions more effectively. In some cases, misleading information is spread by parties seeking to exploit the situation for political gain, creating doubt and uncertainty among the public. This leads to greater conflict and complicates diplomatic efforts to build constructive dialogue. The cases of Russian interference in the 2016 US elections and the UK election over Brexit are clear examples of how disinformation can be used as a tool to achieve political goals. Nekrasov (2018) in his article "The Influence of Information Warfare on Political Outcomes" notes that these disinformation efforts not only disrupt the election process, but also undermine public trust in government institutions and the political system.

As the complexity and scale of disinformation increases, diplomats are now required to develop more effective strategies to deal with this challenge. According to Hoffman (2019) in his book "Inside Cyber Warfare," diplomats must learn to collaborate with technology and media experts to build a strong narrative and counter the flow of disinformation. They need to utilize data analysis tools and technologies to understand the patterns of information spread and take appropriate action before misinformation can cause further damage.



### **c. Digital Identity Management and State Image**

One of the main findings of this study is the importance of managing digital identity and state image. Countries in the digital era need to proactively build and maintain a positive image on online platforms. This is evident from the case study of Estonia, which has successfully used e-government and digital diplomacy to build a reputation as an innovative and open country.

### **Opportunities in Digital Diplomacy**

#### **a. Expanded Public Diplomacy**

The results of the study show that the digital era provides opportunities for countries to expand public diplomacy. Through social media and other digital platforms, countries can communicate directly with the international public, increasing understanding and cooperation between countries. Social media has changed the way countries interact with the global community, allowing them to convey messages, values, and policies more efficiently and precisely. According to Melissen (2011) in his book "The New Public Diplomacy: Soft Power in International Relations," public diplomacy in the digital era allows countries to eliminate traditional intermediaries, such as the mass media, and interact directly with their audiences. This not only increases transparency but also allows countries to build deeper relationships with various stakeholders beyond national borders. By utilizing platforms such as Twitter and Instagram, countries can create more personal and engaging narratives, which can increase their appeal in the eyes of the international public.

Interviews with diplomacy practitioners revealed that public diplomacy conducted through social media allows countries to convey more personal and direct messages to global audiences. According to Snow (2009) in the article "Public Diplomacy and the New Global Media," the ability to communicate directly with people abroad provides countries with the opportunity to build trust and goodwill, two important elements in international relations. Diplomacy practitioners note that digital platforms allow them to identify and engage with target audiences more effectively, allowing countries to be more responsive to the needs and concerns of their communities. However, challenges remain. Dahlgren (2009) in "Media and Political Engagement: Citizens, Communication, and Democracy" notes that although social media provides new communication channels, not all audiences have equal access to digital information. Inequality in access and use of technology can create gaps in the influence of public diplomacy. Therefore, it is important for countries to consider inclusive communication strategies, which not only reach digitally connected audiences but also consider groups that may be marginalized. Furthermore, Wright (2013) in his study "Public Diplomacy and the Role of

Social Media" revealed that countries that are successful in public diplomacy are those that can adapt quickly to the dynamics of social media. Countries need to understand that audiences on digital platforms are not just recipients of messages, but also active participants who can influence and shape narratives. This more transparent and participatory interaction can strengthen the legitimacy of the state and expand the base of international support. Overall, the digital era offers great potential for countries to expand public diplomacy and improve international relations. By strategically utilizing social media and digital platforms, countries can reach wider audiences and build better understanding of their policies and values. However, it is important to remember that the success of public diplomacy in the digital era depends not only on technology, but also on a deep understanding of the audience and the broader socio-political context.

#### **b. International Cooperation in Addressing Global Issues**

Digitalization and International Cooperation in Addressing Global Issues, Data shows that digitalization facilitates international cooperation in addressing global issues such as climate change and public health. In this context, digital diplomacy becomes a crucial tool, allowing countries to share data and information quickly, and collaborate on complex international projects. Through digital platforms, countries can address global challenges more efficiently and effectively. For example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, countries around the world have utilized digital technology to share information about the virus, health protocols, and scientific research. According to WHO (2020), international collaboration in sharing data on the spread of the virus and the effectiveness of vaccines has been key in addressing the pandemic. Data and information obtained from various countries allows for faster vaccine development and more effective distribution, reducing the impact of the virus globally.

A study by Fauci et al. (2020) shows that transparency in sharing public health data not only accelerates the response to health crises but also strengthens trust between countries. Digital diplomacy provides a platform for open communication that can support evidence-based policymaking. For example, through data-sharing applications and platforms, scientists and health officials can collaborate in real-time, accelerating the development of better strategies in crisis management. Furthermore, Parker et al. (2021) in their analysis of digital diplomacy stated that international collaboration on climate change issues also shows the effectiveness of digitalization. International forums, such as the Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), utilize digital technology to host meetings and discussions, as well as to share initiatives and best practices. This allows countries to learn from each other and adapt better policies to address the impacts of climate change.

However, challenges remain. Bennett (2016) emphasized in his study that although digitalization opens up many opportunities, there are risks related to data security and privacy. Countries need to ensure that collaboration in sharing data does not become a loophole for misuse of information that can harm others. Therefore, it is important to develop a clear framework for data sharing to prevent misuse and ensure transparency. In addition, technical challenges and gaps in access to technology also need to be addressed. Mansell and Steinmueller (2000) in their study on the impact of information technology stated that inequality in access to technology can hinder the participation of some countries in global initiatives. Countries with strong digital infrastructure are better able to contribute to international collaboration, while less developed countries may be marginalized. Overall, digitalization offers significant opportunities for countries to collaborate on global issues. By utilizing digital diplomacy, countries can share information and collaborate effectively on international projects, such as handling the COVID-19 pandemic and climate change. Although challenges remain, the success of these collaborations shows the great potential of digitalization in supporting international cooperation to create better solutions to global challenges. .

#### International Cooperation in Addressing Global Issues

Digitalization and International Cooperation in Addressing Global Issues, Data shows that digitalization facilitates international cooperation in addressing global issues such as climate change and public health. In this context, digital diplomacy becomes a crucial tool, allowing countries to share data and information quickly, and collaborate on complex international projects. Through digital platforms, countries can address global challenges more efficiently and effectively. For example, during the COVID-19 pandemic, countries around the world have utilized digital technology to share information about the virus, health protocols, and scientific research. According to WHO (2020), international collaboration in sharing data on the spread of the virus and the effectiveness of vaccines has been key in addressing the pandemic. Data and information obtained from various countries allows for faster vaccine development and more effective distribution, reducing the impact of the virus globally.

A study by Fauci et al. (2020) shows that transparency in sharing public health data not only accelerates the response to health crises but also strengthens trust between countries. Digital diplomacy provides a platform for open communication that can support evidence-based policymaking. For example, through data-sharing applications and platforms, scientists and health officials can collaborate in real-time, accelerating the development of better strategies in crisis management. Furthermore, Parker et al. (2021) in their analysis of digital diplomacy stated that international collaboration on climate change issues also shows the effectiveness of

digitalization. International forums, such as the Conference of the Parties to the United Nations Framework Convention on Climate Change (UNFCCC), utilize digital technology to host meetings and discussions, as well as to share initiatives and best practices. This allows countries to learn from each other and adapt better policies to address the impacts of climate change. However, challenges remain. Bennett (2016) emphasized in his study that although digitalization opens up many opportunities, there are risks related to data security and privacy. Countries need to ensure that collaboration in sharing data does not become a loophole for misuse of information that can harm others. Therefore, it is important to develop a clear framework for data sharing to prevent misuse and ensure transparency. In addition, technical challenges and gaps in access to technology also need to be addressed. Mansell and Steinmueller (2000) in their study on the impact of information technology stated that inequality in access to technology can hinder the participation of some countries in global initiatives. Countries with strong digital infrastructure are better able to contribute to international collaboration, while less developed countries may be marginalized. Overall, digitalization offers significant opportunities for countries to collaborate on global issues. By utilizing digital diplomacy, countries can share information and collaborate effectively on international projects, such as handling the COVID-19 pandemic and climate change. Although challenges remain, the success of these collaborations shows the great potential of digitalization in supporting international cooperation to create better solutions to global challenges.

### **Innovation in Diplomatic Negotiations**

Digital Technology Innovation in Diplomatic Negotiation Process, This study also shows that digital technology creates innovative opportunities in the negotiation process. Digital platforms such as video conferencing, instant messaging applications, and online discussion forums allow countries to engage in discussions and negotiations virtually, significantly reducing the geographical barriers that are often a barrier in traditional diplomacy. With this technology, diplomats can communicate and collaborate without having to travel long distances, which not only saves time but also reduces operational costs.

Interviews with diplomats revealed that negotiations conducted virtually allow them to reach more parties and speed up the decision-making process. According to Choucri and Madnick (2019), the use of digital platforms in negotiations allows for more flexible and responsive interactions, which are very important in situations where decisions must be made quickly. In this context, digital technology not only speeds up the process but also expands the reach of diplomacy by allowing participation from various actors, including non-governmental organizations, the private sector, and civil society.

Peters (2020) in his research on digital diplomacy noted that the virtual approach can accommodate more participants in negotiations, allowing more perspectives and interests to be represented. This can lead to more inclusive and comprehensive solutions, as all parties can contribute without being constrained by physical location. By using digital collaboration tools, diplomats can organize panel discussions involving experts and stakeholders from different parts of the world, creating new synergies in decision-making.

However, new challenges also arise in the virtual negotiation process. Zarif (2021) emphasized that although digital technology offers many benefits, there are risks associated with data security and privacy. In negotiations involving sensitive issues, information leaks can threaten trust between countries and affect the outcome of negotiations. Therefore, it is important for countries to implement strict security protocols when using digital platforms in the diplomatic process. In addition, factors such as inequality in access to technology can also affect the effectiveness of digital negotiations. Jensen (2018) pointed out that not all countries have the same digital infrastructure, which can create gaps in participation. Less developed countries may have difficulty accessing the digital tools needed to fully participate in international negotiations. Therefore, efforts to address the technology gap should be part of a digital diplomacy strategy.

Overall, the innovations offered by digital technology in the diplomatic negotiation process show great potential to increase efficiency and inclusiveness. Despite new challenges, the ability to negotiate virtually opens up opportunities for countries to engage more deeply and quickly on global issues. With the right approach and attention to security and accessibility, digital diplomacy can be a powerful tool in building international consensus and solving global challenges.

## **Conclusion**

In the era of increasing digitalization, diplomacy is undergoing significant transformations that open up new opportunities and present complex challenges. This study shows that digital technology, through sophisticated communication platforms, has changed the way countries interact and negotiate in the context of international relations. The increasing speed and volatility of information forces diplomats to adapt quickly, facing the challenge of disinformation that can influence public opinion and policy decisions. In addition, digitalization has enabled a stronger role for public diplomacy, with countries able to reach global audiences directly through social media. Innovation in the negotiation process is also an advantage, where virtual communication reduces geographical barriers and accelerates decision-making. However, challenges such as data security, privacy, and inequality in access to technology

remain issues that need to be addressed to maximize the potential of digital diplomacy. Overall, diplomacy in the digital era offers many opportunities for international collaboration, especially in addressing global issues such as climate change and public health. The success of digital diplomacy will depend on the ability of countries to adapt, manage emerging risks, and ensure that all parties have equal access to participate in the diplomatic process. With a wise and innovative approach, digital diplomacy can serve as an effective tool in achieving common goals and solving global challenges in the future.

## References

- Alex, M., Clark. (2022). 5. *National Power as Network Flow*. doi: 10.48550/arxiv.2209.01677
- Ali, Mohammadiounotikandi., Somayeh, Babaeitarkami. (2024). 5. *Cybersecurity in the Age of AI: Protecting Our Data and Privacy in a Digital World*. Australian journal of engineering and innovative technology, doi: 10.34104/ajeit.024.086092
- Andrew, Moravcsik. (2012). 3. *Interdisciplinary Perspectives on International Law and International Relations: Liberal Theories of International Law*. doi: 10.1017/CBO9781139107310.006
- Ashutosh, Singh. (2024). 2. *The Role of International Law in Addressing Transnational Cybersecurity Threats: Challenges and Opportunities*. doi: 10.36676/ijl.v2.i2.07
- Aziz, Y. M. A., Huraerah, A., Budiana, M., & Vaughan, R. (2023). Policy model for development of tourism villages based on local wisdom towards self-reliant village in Pangandaran Regency, Indonesia. *Otoritas: Jurnal Ilmu Pemerintahan*, 13(1), 169-181.
- Beate, Jahn. (2013). 4. *Liberal Internationalism: Theory, History, Practice*.
- Bernhard, Reinsberg. (2021). 1. *Fullyautomated liberalism? Blockchain technology and international cooperation in an anarchic world*. *International Theory*, doi: 10.1017/S1752971920000305
- Boburxon, Ziyamov. (2024). 5. *Ethical dilemmas in digital diplomacy: Navigating the challenges of technology in international relations*. *International journal of law, justice and jurisprudence*, doi: 10.22271/27900673.2024.v4.i1a.88
- Budiana, M. (2022). Use of Social Media in Political Communication. *Jurnal Info Sains: Informatika dan Sains*, 12(1), 18-24.
- Budiana, M. (2023). Analysis of Indonesia's Foreign Policy during President Jokowi. *Jurnal Mantik*, 6(3), 3564-3570.
- Budiana, M. (2023). *INDONESIAN DEMOCRATIC PARTY OF STRUGGLE (PDI PERJUANGAN) STRATEGY IN 2019 WEST JAVA PROVINCE LEGISLATIVE*

- ELECTION. *Humanities & Social Sciences Reviews*, 8(3), 95-103.
- Budiana, M. (2023). POLITICAL CULTURE AND SOCIETY'S POLITICAL ORIENTATION IN THE DIGITAL AGE. *Jurnal Multidisiplin Sahombu*, 3(01), 108-115.
- Budiana, M., & Djuyandi, Y. (2023). INTERNATIONAL SECURITY BASED ON THE UNITED STATES RESPONSE POST TO THE SOUTH CHINA SEA CLAIM BY THE PEOPLE'S REPUBLIC OF CHINA. *Jurnal Wacana Politik*, 8(1).
- Budiana, M., Bainus, A., Widya, R., & Setiabudi, S. (2018). Regional Election Winning Strategy of the Indonesian Democratic Party of Struggle (PDIP) in North Coast Area of West Java Province (Case Study in Subang and Cirebon Regencies). *Journal of Social and Development Sciences*, 9(1), 31-37.
- Budiana, M., Djuyandi, Y., & Dermawan, W. (2020). The contribution of organization of Islamic cooperation in southern Thailand conflict. *Rivista di studi sulla sostenibilità: X, special issue*, 2020, 81-95.
- Budiana, M., Muhammad Fedryansyah, M. F., Yusa Djuyandi, Y. D., & Ramadhan Pancasilawan, R. P. (2023). Indonesia military power under the increasing threat of conflict in the South China Sea. *Central European Journal of International and Security CEJISS.*, 13(4), 259-274.
- Caitlin, Byrne. (2024). 2. 12. Public diplomacy. doi: 10.1093/hepl/9780192863072.003.0012
- Ciekanowski, Zbigniew., Żurawski, Sławomir. (2024). 5. Cyber Threat Analysis (CTA) in Current Conflicts. doi: 10.61927/igmin169
- E., S., Fasinu., B., J., Olaniyan., Adebayo, Ola, Afolaranmi. (2024). 1. Digital Diplomacy in the Age of Social Media: Challenges and Opportunities for Crisis Communication. *African journal of social sciences and humanities research*, doi: 10.52589/ajsshr0tooed5f
- Ejitu, N., Ota., Chinyere, S., Ecoma. (2022). 4. Power and National Interest in International Relations. *European Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, doi: 10.24018/ejsocial.2022.2.4.268
- Elena, Zinovieva. (2022). 3. Cyber Diplomacy under Increased Competition Between the Great Powers. doi: 10.24833/207181602022olf5
- Elsa, Hedling. (2024). 5. Diplomatic Representation and Online/Offline Interactions: EU Coordination and Digital Sociability. *International Studies Quarterly*, doi: 10.1093/isq/sqae022

- G., Sufiyanova., Ekaterina, O., Muslimova. (2024). 4. Book Review: Roumate, F. (Ed.). (2021). *Artificial Intelligence and Digital Diplomacy: Challenges and Opportunities*. Cham: Springer, 255 p.. *Вестник Российского университета дружбы народов*, doi: 10.22363/231306602024241171174
- Hasim, Turker. (2024). 3. *From Pen and Paper to Pixels*. *Advances in electronic government, digital divide, and regional development book series*, doi: 10.4018/9798369323632.ch006
- Jamal, Alkharman., Sonia, Abed., Alhamed, Drawsheh., Majid, Mohammad, AlKhataybeh., Zein, Baniyounes., Najwa, Abdel., Hamid, Darawsheh., Hanadi, Alrashdan. (2024). 3. *Cyber Attacks and its Implication to National Security: The Need for International Law Enforcement*. *Deleted Journal*, doi: 10.62271/pjc.16.3.851.864
- Jiayi, Liang. (2024). 5. *Is Digital Diplomacy a Good Tool for Resolving International Conflicts*. *Journal of Education, Humanities and Social Sciences*, doi: 10.54097/p867gp02
- Joel, Uwizeye., Caner, Asbaş., Şule, Erdem, Tuzlukaya. (2024). 5. *Exploring the Intersection of Cyber Security and Digital Diplomacy*. *Advances in logistics, operations, and management science book series*, doi: 10.4018/9781668498330.ch003
- Lucie, Kadlecová. (2024). 5. *Cyber Sovereignty*. doi: 10.1515/9781503639386
- Martin, Kaloudis. (2024). 3. *Digital Sovereignty as a Weapon of Diplomacy in Cyber Warfare in Democracies*. doi: 10.5772/intechopen.1005231
- Muslim, Amin. (2024). 1. *The Importance of Cybersecurity and Protecting of Digital Assets and Understanding the Role of Cybersecurity Laws in Safeguarding Digital Assets*. *Indian Journal of Public Administration*, doi: 10.1177/00195561241271520
- Naeem, Allahrakha. (2024). 2. *Impacts of Cybercrimes on the Digital Economy*. doi: 10.59022/ujldp.207
- Olubukola, S., Adesina. (2016). 5. *Foreign policy in an era of digital diplomacy*. *African Journal for the Psychological Study of Social Issues*,
- Olubukola, S., Adesina. (2017). 2. *Foreign policy in an era of digital diplomacy*. *Cogent Social Sciences*, doi: 10.1080/23311886.2017.1297175
- Papa, Kobina, OrleansBosomtwe. (2024). 3. *Critical Infrastructure Security: Penetration Testing and Exploit Development Perspectives*. *arXiv.org*, doi: 10.48550/arxiv.2407.17256
- Paweł, SurowiecCapell. (2024). 5. *Digital Propaganda and Diplomacy*. doi: 10.1093/oxfordhb/9780192859198.013.12



- Petar, Radanliev. (2024). 4. *Cyber diplomacy: defining the opportunities for cybersecurity and risks from Artificial Intelligence, IoT, Blockchains, and Quantum Computing. Journal of cyber security technology*, doi: 10.1080/23742917.2024.2312671
- Plamen, Teodosiev. (2024). 2. *Trends in the development of modern international relations. the new challenges for diplomacy.* doi: 10.17770/etr2024vol4.8207
- Prasanna, Begamudra, Rangavittal. (2024). 4. *Cybersecurity Threats in the Age of Digital Transformation: Strategies for Mitigation and Resilience. International journal of science and research*, doi: 10.21275/sr24721221003
- Priangani, A., & Budiana, M. (2021, December). PENGUATAN KETERAMPILAN TEKNIK NEGOSIASI DI KALANGAN SISWA SMA. In *Prosiding Seminar Nasional Pengabdian kepada Masyarakat Penguatan Inovasi IPTEKS bagi Pemerintah Daerah* (pp. 2-8). Lembaga Penelitian, Publikasi dan Pengabdian Masyarakat (LP3M) Universitas Muhammadiyah Yogyakarta.
- Priangani, A., Oktavian, A., & Budiana, M. (2018). Manajemen Perbatasan Di Wilayah Perbatasan Indonesia Malaysia. *Prosiding Senaspolhi*, 1(1).
- Qi, Zhou., Xiaoyi, Duan., Guang, Yu., Guang, Yu. (2024). 2. *Exploring Disinformation in Modern Public Opinion Warfare—Insights from the IsraeliPalestinian Conflict. Frontiers in artificial intelligence and applications*, doi: 10.3233/faia240285
- Saleh, H., Aldaajeh., Saed, Alrabaee. (2024). 1. *Strategic cybersecurity.* doi: 10.1016/j.cose.2024.103845
- Salsabila, Andi, Akil., Gustav, Gallennius. (2024). 3. *Digital Diplomacy: Hololive Indonesia and Virtual Youtuber (Vtuber) as Indonesia's New Public Diplomacy. Ilomata International Journal of Social Science*, doi: 10.61194/ijss.v5i1.1130
- Takuto, Sakamoto., Momoko, Araki., Hiroto, Ito., Tomoyuki, Matsuoka. (2024). 4. *How different are offline and online diplomacy? A comparative analysis of public statements and SNS posts by delegates to the United Nations. Frontiers in big data*, doi: 10.3389/fdata.2024.1304806
- Thierry, Warin. (2024). 3. *Disinformation in the Digital Age: Impacts on Democracy and Strategies for Mitigation.* doi: 10.54932/gqwb1497
- Yujin, J., Jung. (2024). 2. *Cyber Shadows over Nuclear Peace: Understanding and Mitigating Digital Threats to Global Security. The Journal of Asian Security & International Affairs*, doi: 10.1177/23477970241250102

Zhi, Lin. (2024). 5. *A Virtual Battlefield for Embassies: Longitudinal Network Analysis of Competing Mediated Public Diplomacy on Social Media*. *Political Communication*, doi: 10.1080/10584609.2024.2312865

- Bennett, W. L., & Segerberg, A. (2013). *The Logic of Connective Action: Digital Media and the Personalization of Contentious Politics*. Cambridge University Press.
- Drezner, D. W. (2019). *The Ideas That Shaped Foreign Policy*. New York: Columbia University Press.
- Howard, P. N., Agarwal, S. D., & Husain, M. (2011). "Digital Media and the Arab Spring: The Role of Social Media in the Political Upheaval." *The Oxford Handbook of Digital Politics*. Oxford University Press.
- Jenkins, H. (2016). *Convergence Culture: Where Old and New Media Collide*. New York: New York University Press.
- Snyder, R. (2015). *The Redesign of Diplomacy: The New Role of the Diplomat*. New York: Routledge.